

THIS TEMPLATE IS UNDER DEVELOPMENT.  
FEEL FREE TO USE IT AND PLEASE SEND US FEEDBACK TO:  
KVALMETOD@SBU.SE

# Review template for qualitative evidence synthesis (QES)

VERSION 2023\_11

Author, year, title:

---

Reviewer:

Overall assessment of methodological  
limitations:

- Insignificant or minor
- Moderate
- Major

Comment:

## Domain 1: Objective and selection criteria

	Yes	Unclear	No
a) Was the objective of the evidence synthesis clearly described?	<input type="checkbox"/>		
b) Were the selection criteria clearly described?	<input type="checkbox"/>		
c) Were the selection criteria appropriate for the objective of the evidence synthesis?	<input type="checkbox"/>		
d) Did the work follow a predetermined research plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Methodological limitations domain 1:</b>			
Insignificant or Minor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	Major <input type="checkbox"/>

## Domain 2: Literature search

	Yes	Unclear	No
a) Was the search approach appropriate for the objective of the evidence synthesis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Did the search strategy enable the literature relevant to the evidence synthesis to be captured?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Was the literature search conducted in appropriate databases and other types of sources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Were the delimitations of the search strategy appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Were appropriate search methods, complementary to the electronic literature search used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Methodological limitations domain 2:</b>			
Insignificant or Minor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	Major <input type="checkbox"/>

## Domain 3: Selection and assessment of studies

	Yes	Unclear	No
a) Was the selection of studies carried out by at least two persons, independently of each other and by consensus?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Is sufficient information regarding included and excluded studies available?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Were the methodological limitations of relevant studies appropriately assessed, for example by using validated checklists?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Was the assessment of methodological limitations carried out by at least two persons, independently of each other and by consensus?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Methodological limitations domain 3:</b>			
Insignificant or Minor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	Major <input type="checkbox"/>

## Domain 4: Synthesis

	Yes	Unclear	No
a) Was the used synthesis method appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Was the synthesis appropriately performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Were the findings of the evidence synthesis clearly grounded in the included studies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Were the findings appropriately validated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Methodological flaws domain 4:</b>			
Insignificant or Minor <input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	

## Domain 5: Author reflexivity

	Yes	Unclear	No
a) Was the collective competence of the authors adequate to handle all steps of the evidence synthesis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have the authors handled their preunderstanding in an acceptable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Were the authors independent of conflicts of interest that could affect one or more steps in the work with the evidence synthesis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Methodological limitations domain 5:</b>			
Insignificant or Minor <input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	

## Domain 6: Other aspects

	Yes	Unclear	No
a) Are there other methodological limitations that may have affected the findings in the evidence synthesis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, please describe the limitations here:			
.....			
<b>Methodological limitations domain 6:</b>			
Insignificant or Minor <input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	

## Domain 7: Assessing the confidence in the evidence synthesis findings

	Yes	Unclear	No
a) Has the confidence in the evidence synthesis findings been appropriately assessed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Methodological limitations domain 7:</b>			
Insignificant or Minor <input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	

# References

1. Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E, Oliver S, Craig J. Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2012;12:181.
2. Flemming, K. and J. Noyes, *Qualitative Evidence Synthesis: Where Are We at?* *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 2021;20:1-13.