

### Bilaga till rapport

Dialektisk beteendeterapi och Mentaliseringsbaserad terapi

- Effekter hos personer utan diagnosen Emotionellt instabilt personlighetssyndrom
- Effekter hos personer med bulimi eller hetsätningsstörning
- Effekter av behandling som enbart innehåller färdighetsträning i grupp Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) and Mentalization-Based Therapy (MBT)
- Effects in patients with self-harming behaviour with and without a diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder, and in patients with Bulimia Nervosa or Binge Eating Disorder
- Effects of DBT and MBT that only include group therapy Rapport 385 (2024)

### Bilaga 3 Tabell över inkluderade studier

Adolescents with BPD receiv	ing DBT
No studies included	
Adults with BPD receiving DI	ВТ
Linehan 1999 [1], USA, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Referral by area clinicians
Population	Women between 18 and 45 years (mean age 30 at baseline) with BPD and substance use disorder.
Exclusion criteria	Schizophrenia, Psychotic Disorder, Bipolar Mood Disorder, mental retardation
Follow up	16 months
Intervention	12-month Dialectical Behavior Therapy. Individual psychotherapy and group skills training. Participants (12) Drop-outs from treatment (4) Individuals with data at EOT (12)
Comparison	12-month treatment as usual, designed to control for several key threats to internal validity, including time and attention.  Participants (16)  Drop-outs from treatment (5)  Individuals with data at EOT (16)
Outcomes	There were no between-group differences regarding parasuicide episodes, GSA, GAS, or anger at EOT (12-months).  Outcomes at 16-months follow up:
	Parasuicide Parasuicide episodes No values available F (3,39) = 3.96, p < 0.02 (DBT better)  Psychosocial functioning Global Assessment Scale (GAS) (last week's score, higher scores better), mean scores (SD): Baseline values not available DBT M = 62 (10) TAU M = 44 (10) F (1,12) = 22.19, p < .001
	Emotion regulation

	State anger:
	No values available
	F (3,36) = 6.88, p <0.01 (DBT better)
	Trait anger:
	No values available
	F (3,32) = 6.41, p <0.01 (DBT better)
Comments	Participants with co-morbid substance use disorder selected
Bianchini 2019 [2], Italy, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	High intensity therapeutic facilities—the Residenze per l'Esecuzione delle
	Misure di Sicurezza (REMS)
Recruitment	Participants were recruited from men consecutively detained as patients
	in the three REMS of Roma 5 Department of Mental Health
Population	Male forensic psychiatric in-patients (average age of 41.79 ±8.14) years
·	with borderline personality disorder and a history of violence to others
Exclusion criteria	cognitive deficit (QI < 70) and/or comorbid neurological diseases
Follow up	
Intervention	Treatment: 12 months of standard DBT along with other therapies
	available in the high security hospital (pharmacotherapy, social skills, and
	cognitive remediation)
	Participants (10)
	Drop-outs (0) (All participants completed at least 90% of the DBT
	sessions offered).
Comparison	Treatment: 12 months of usual therapies alone (pharmacotherapy, social
	skills, and cognitive remediation)
	Participants (11)
	Drop-outs (0) (All participants completed at least 90% of the DBT
Outcome	sessions offered).
Outcomes	Pre intervention/post intervention (12 months) assessments
	Difficulties In Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS-36) Total score M (SD)
	DBT: baseline 56.6 (11.77), 12 mo 65.6 (9.40), p= 0.04
	TAU: baseline 52.9 (19.52), 12 mo 65.1 (15.57), p= 0.22
Comments	
Carter 2010 [3], Australia, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Clinical outpatient unit
Recruitment	Consecutive
Population	Adult women with BPD and a history of multiple episodes of deliberate
	self-harm. Mean age 25 (SD 6) years.
Exclusion criteria	Disabling organic condition, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder,
	psychotic depression,
	florid antisocial behaviour, or developmental disability.
Follow up	6 months (EOT)
Intervention	6-month DBT
	Participants (38)
	Drop-outs from treatment (18)
	Available data at EOT (38)
Comparison	6-months TAU
	Participants (35)
	Drop-outs from treatment (4)
Outcomes	Available data at EOT (35)
Outcomes	Outcomes at EOT:
	Self-harm
	Proportion with at least one hospital admission due to deliberate self-
	harm (DSH):
	DBT: 21.1 %

	TAU: 25.7 %
	Chi-squared = 0.22
	Mean number (SD) of hospital admissions due to DSH:
	DBT: 0.50 (1.54)
	TAU: 1.40 (4.47)
	IRR: 0.36 (0.09–1.43)
	Results from per protocol analyses not extracted due to high risk of bias
Comments	
Feigenbaum 2012 [4], UK, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient specialist service for PDs, inner-city London.
Recruitment	Referrals
Population	Adults (18-65 years) with BPD, mean age (SD) 35 (8) years. 73 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Long-term psychotherapeutic treatment, psychotic disorder, bipolar I
Exclusion enterior	disorder, opiate dependence requiring specialist treatment, mental
	impairment, organic brain disorder.
Follow up	12 months (EOT)
Intervention	12-month DBT
intervention	Participants (26)
	Drop-outs from treatment (15)
	Available data at EOT (25)
Comparison	12-month TAU
Companson	Participants (16)
	Drop-outs (2)
	Available data at EOT (16)
Outcomes	Self-harm
Outcomes	Frequency of deliberate self-harm in the last 6 months, mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 4.1 (4.3), EOT 2.4 (3.2)
	TAU: Baseline 4.1 (4.7), EOT 2.4 (3.2)
	F (1.9, 70.7) = 1.2, ns
	1 (1.3, 70.7) - 1.2, 113
	Suicide attempts
	Frequency of suicide attempts in the last 6 months, mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 0.4 (0.50), EOT 0.24 (0.43)
	TAU: 0.5 (0.51), EOT 0.06 (0.25)
	Emotion regulation
	Overt Aggression Scale (OAS) - aggression, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 9.8 (4.22), EOT 8.1 (3.37)
	TAU: Baseline 12.3 (4.16), EOT 12.7 (4.18)
	F (1.7, 56.1) = 1.0, ns
	Overt Aggression Scale (OAS) - irritability, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 4.4 (2.96), EOT 4.4 (2.84)
	TAU: Baseline 3.9 (2.25), EOT 4.4 (2.21)
	F (1.7, 57.6) = 1.0, ns
	State and trait anger expression - Spielberger Anger Scale (STAXI),
	mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 90.5 (17.15), EOT 83.4 (20.36)
	TAU: Baseline 90.1 (12.49), EOT 83.3 (17.94)
	F (1.5, 59.2) = 1.0, ns
	Psychosocial functioning
	Clinical outcomes in routine evaluation – outcome measure (CORE-OM)
	- functioning, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 2.63 (0.83), EOT 2.48 (0.85)
	TAU: Baseline 2.51 (0.61), EOT 2.22 (0.92)
	1710. Duscinic 2.31 (0.01), EOT 2.22 (0.32)

	T
	F (1.7, 70.6) = 1.0, ns
	Parameters.
	Depression
	Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 35.2 (9.7), EOT 32.2 (12.8)
	TAU: Baseline 33.3 (9.7), EOT 28.1 (13.7)
	F(1.5, 59.2) = 1.0, ns
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.
Comments	
Koons 2001 [5], USA, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Through primary care clinic in Veteran Affairs Medical Center
Population	Female veterans with BPD, mean (SD) age 35 (7) years
Exclusion criteria	Schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, substance dependence, and antisocial
	personality disorder.
Follow up	EOT (6 months)
Intervention	6-month DBT
	Participants (14)
	Drop-outs (4)
Comparison	6-month TAU
·	Participants (14)
	Drop-outs (4)
Outcomes	BPD severity
	BPD Criteria (DSM-III-R, SCID-II), mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 6.8 (1.1), EOT 3.6 (1.6)
	TAU: Baseline 6.7 (0.8), EOT 4.2 (2.3)
	F (1,18) = 0.79, ns
	Parasuicidal behaviour
	Parasuicide History Interview, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 5.1 (13.2), EOT 0.4 (1.3)
	TAU: Baseline 0.7 (1.3), EOT 1.0 (2.2)
	F (2, 36) = 2.44, p < 0.1
	Suicidal ideation
	Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 36.2 (13.5), EOT 26.2 (8.0)
	TAU: Baseline 44.6 (11.4), EOT 41.5 (14.3)
	F (2, 36) = 3.71, p < 0.05
	Depression
	Beck Depression Inventory, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 22.8 (11.1), EOT 13.4 (7.5)
	TAU: Baseline 34.7 (14.6), EOT 29.3 (17.7)
	F (2, 36) = 3.7, p < 0.05
	Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 29.7 (13.7), EOT 17.1 (5.7)
	TAU: Baseline 32.6 (9.7), EOT 24.3 (7.8)
	F (2, 36) = 0.71, ns
	Anxiety
	Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 18.4 (7.3), EOT 19.1 (7.5)
	TAU: Baseline 27.7 (9.3), EOT 32.2 (12.4)
	F (2, 36) = 1.32, ns
	Emotion regulation

	Spielberger Anger Expression Scale – Anger in, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 22.9 (5.7), EOT 17.3 (4.0)
	TAU: Baseline 20.5 (4.7), EOT 19.2 (6.2)
	F (2, 36) = 1.71, ns
	Spielberger Anger Expression Scale – Anger out, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 18.2 (5.7), EOT 14.5 (3.9)
	TAU: Baseline 17.2 (5.8), EOT 17.9 (6.1)
	F (2, 36) = 5.89, p < 0.01
Comments	
Kramer 2016 [6], Switzerland, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient university psychiatry clinic
Recruitment	Advertisement within the psychiatry department where the study took
	place, in addition to information in the community.
Population	Adults with BPD. Mean (SD) age 35 (9) years. 88 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Psychotic disorder, mental retardation, previous DBT treatment.
	were excluded from the study.
Follow up	EOT
Intervention	6-month Dialectical behavior therapy - informed skills training (DBT-ST)
intervention	In addition to TAU (psychiatric treatment, psychotheray)
	Participants (21)
	Drop-outs from treatment (5)
Compositors	Participants contributing with data at EOT (21)
Comparison	6-month TAU
	Participants (20)
	Drop-outs from treatment (5)
•	Participants contributing with data at EOT (20)
Outcomes	Psychosocial function
	Outcome Questionnaire—45.2 - Domain Social Role (lower scores
	better):
	DBT-ST: Baseline 15.81 (6.47), EOT 12.76 (7.79)
	TAU: Baseline 14.74 (5.14), EOT 16.00 (5.42)
	Difficulties with relationships
	Difficulties with relationships
	Outcome Questionnaire—45.2 - Domain Interpersonal relations (lower
	scores better):
	DBT-ST: Baseline 22.81 (7.30), EOT 21.05 (8.48)
Commonto	TAU: Baseline 22.15 (6.54), EOT 21.70 (7.65)
Comments	
Linehan 1991 [7], USA, RCT	T .
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Clinical referrals
Population	Adults (age 18-45) with BPD and at least 2 incidents of parasuicide in the
	last 5 years, with 1 during the last 8 weeks. Mean age not stated. 100 %
	female.
Exclusion criteria	Schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, substance dependence, or mental
	Retardation.
Follow up	12 months (EOT)
Intervention	DBT
	Participants (22)
	Drop-outs (2)
Comparison	TAU
	Participants (22)
	Drop-outs (9)
Outcomes	Parasuicide
	Number of parasuicidal acts during 12-month follow-up, mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 0 (0), EOT 6.82 (12.35)

	TAU: Baseline 0 (0), EOT 33.54 (69.97)
	Percentage with at least one parasuicidal acts during 12-month follow-
	up:
	DBT: Baseline 100%, EOT 63.6 %
	TAU: Baseline 100%, EOT 95.5 %
	z = 2.26, p < 0.005
	Suicidal ideation
	Scale for suicide ideation (SSI), mean score (SD):
	No values, ns.
	Reasons for Living Inventory (RLI), mean score (SD):
	No values, ns.
	Depression
	Beck Depression Inventory (BD), mean score (SD):
Comments	No values, ns.
Linehan 1994 [8], USA, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Clinical referrals
Population	Women between the ages of 18 and 45 years (mean 26.7 SD 7.8) with
	borderline personality disorder (DSM-II-R criteria). All subjects had at
	least two instances of parasuicidal behavior within the past five years,
	one within 8 weeks before study recruitment
Exclusion criteria	Schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, primary substance dependence or
- "	mental retardation
Follow up	EOT
Intervention	Treatment: 12-month DBT Participants (13)
	Drop-outs (3)
Comparison	Treatment: 12-month TAU
	Participants (13)
	Drop-outs (1)
Outcomes	Emotion regulation
	State-Trait anger scale, anger trait subscale, mean (SD), (ITT)
	DBT: baseline 36.77 (8.17), posttreatment 32.15 (7.19)
	TAU: baseline 38.46 (7.66), posttreatment 40.08 (8.37) F=6.93 p<0.01
	Psychosocial functioning
	Global assessment scale (GAS), mean (SD), (ITT)
	DBT: baseline 37.73 (7.53), posttreatment 51.42 (9.71)
	TAU: baseline 33.77 (9.50), posttreatment 40.43 (10.80)
	F=5.49 p<0.01
	Social adjustment scale, longitudinal interval follow-up evaluation and
	adjustment, mean (SD) (ITT)
	DBT: baseline 4.14 (0.71), posttreatment 3.31 (0.72)
	TAU: baseline 4.31 (0.70), posttreatment 3.93 (0.70)
	F=4.86 p<0.05
Comments	Assessments conducted at baseline, 4 mo, 8 mo and 12 mo, results
	presented are from post treatment (12 mo) or the assessment closest to
Managin 2000 [0] Canada DCT	termination
McMain 2009 [9], Canada, RCT	Comp concerns
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Recruitment	teaching hospital
Recivitifient	

Population	Adults (86.1% women) meeting DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder between 18 and 60 years old (mean 30.4 SD=9.9) and had at
	least two suicidal or nonsuicidal self-injurious episodes in the past 5
	years, with at least one occurring in the past 3 months
Exclusion criteria	DSM-IV diagnosis of a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder, delirium,
	dementia, or mental retardation or a diagnosis of substance dependence
	in the preceding 30 days; having a medical condition that precluded
	psychiatric medications; living outside a 40-mile radius of Toronto;
	having any serious medical condition likely to require hospitalization
	within the next year (e.g., cancer); and having plans to leave the province
Fall and the second	in the next 2 years
Follow up Intervention	Se nedan McMain 2012 Treatment: 12-month DBT
intervention	Participants (90)
	Drop-outs (35)
Comparison	(90 in analysis)  Treatment: 12-month general psychiatric management (GPM)
Comparison	
	Participants (90)
	Drop-outs (34)
Outcomes	(90 in analysis)  Suicidal and self-injurious episodes, mean (SD)
Outcomes	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	DBT: Baseline 20.94 (33.28), 4 mo 10.60 (20.96) 8 mo 8.94 (19.07), 12 mo
	4.29 (9.32)
	GPM: Baseline 32.19 (81.94), 4 mo 14.02 (43.87), 8 mo 11.44 (37.59), 12
	mo 12.87 (51.45)
	Group effect OR 0.92, p=0.76
	Suicide attempts
	Emergency department visits for suicidal behaviour, mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 1.01 (1.47), 4 mo 0.74 (2.89), 8 mo 0.29 (0.67) , 12 mo
	0.41 (1.00)
	GPM: Baseline 0.77 (1.65), 4 mo 0.30 (0.71), 8 mo 0.23 (0.59), 12 mo
	0.29 (1.13)
	Group effect OR 1.35, p=0.46
	Porderline symptom soverity
	Borderline symptom severity  Zanarini Rating Scale for Borderline Personality Disorder, total score
	(SD):
	DBT: Baseline 15.49 (6.14), 4 mo 10.50 (5.98) 8 mo 8.57 (6.20), 12 mo
	7.93 (6.11)
	GPM: Baseline 14.94 (6.59), 4 mo 9.86 (5.29 8 mo 9.36 (5.83), 12 mo 8.16
	(5.79)
	Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 1.13
	Depression
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), mean (SD)
	DBT: Baseline 37.19 (12.46), 4 mo 29.06 (15.01) 8 mo 24.16 (15.34), 12
	mo 22.18 (16.14)
	GPM: Baseline 35.40 (10.60), 4 mo 28.28 (13.98) 8 mo 27.55 (15.53), 12
	mo 24.83 (14.83)
	mo 24.83 (14.83) Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 1.10
	mo 24.83 (14.83) Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 1.10  Emotion regulation
	mo 24.83 (14.83) Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 1.10  Emotion regulation Anger (State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory, anger expression-out
	mo 24.83 (14.83) Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 1.10  Emotion regulation Anger (State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory, anger expression-out subscore), mean (SD)
	mo 24.83 (14.83) Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 1.10  Emotion regulation Anger (State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory, anger expression-out subscore), mean (SD) DBT: Baseline 17.92 (5.29), 4 mo 16.62 (5.73) 8 mo 16.03 (4.75), 12 mo
	mo 24.83 (14.83) Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 1.10  Emotion regulation Anger (State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory, anger expression-out subscore), mean (SD)

	Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 0.35
	Difficulties with relationsships
	Inventory of Interpersonal Problems–64, total score, mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 118.58 (43.85), 4 mo 111.34 (41.40) 8 mo 108.97 (44.37), 12 mo 100.24 (50.62)
	GPM: Baseline 120.95 (37.30), 4 mo 113.95 (39.32) 8 mo 104.79 (42.50),
	12 mo 101.58 (45.19)
	Effect size (Pearson point-biserial correlations) 0.47
Comments	General psychiatric management was based on the APA Practice
	Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Borderline Personality
	Disorder and manualized for this trial.
McMain 2012 [10], Canada, RCT	1.
Setting	Some concerns
Recruitment Population	Adults meeting DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder
Population	between 18 and
	60 years old (86.1% women) and had at least two suicidal or nonsuicidal
	self-injurious episodes in the past 5 years, with at least one occurring in
	the past 3 months
Exclusion criteria	DSM-IV diagnosis of a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder, delirium,
	dementia, or mental retardation or a diagnosis of substance dependence
	in the preceding 30 days; having a medical condition that precluded
	psychiatric medications; living outside a 40-mile radius of Toronto; having any serious medical condition likely to require hospitalization
	within the next year (e.g., cancer); and having plans to leave the province
	in the next 2 years
Follow up	2-year naturalistic post-treatment follow up to McMain 2009 (Patients
·	were assessed by blind raters 6, 12, 18, and 24 months after treatment).
	Baseline and 12 mo assessment below data from McMain 2009.
Intervention	12-month DBT
	Participants 90
	Analyzed 24 months after EOT 85
Comparison	12-month GPM
	Participants 90
Outcomes	Analyzed 24 months after EOT 82  Suicide attempts
Outcomes	Number of Suicidal episodes, mean (SD)
	DBT: Baseline 1.30 (3.60), 12 mo 0.33 (1.31) 18 mo 0.27 (0.80), 24 mo
	0.07 (0.26) 30 mo 0.14 (0.69), 36 mo 0.55 (2.42)
	GPM: Baseline 1.86 (6.31), 12 mo 0.32 (2.09) 18 mo 0.54 (2.26), 24 mo
	0.09 (0.33) 30 mo 0.24 (0.84) 36 mo 0.29 (1.15)
	Emergency department visits for suicidal behavior
	DBT: Baseline 1.01 (1.47), 12 mo 0.41 (1.00) 18 mo 0.16 (0.59), 24 mo
	0.10 (0.30) 30 mo 0.12 (0.59), 36 mo 0.30 (1.22) GPM: Baseline 0.77 (1.65), 12 mo 0.29 (1.13) 18 mo 0.23 (0.89), 24 mo
	0.24 (0.79) 30 mo 0.18 (0.70), 36 mo 0.20 (0.58)
	0.24 (0.75) 50 mo 0.10 (0.70), 50 mo 0.20 (0.50)
	Self-harm
	Number of no suicidal self-injurious behaviors, mean (SD)
	DBT: Baseline 21.65 (35.20), 12 mo 4.12 (9.23), 24 mo 2.48 (7.34), 36 mo
	2.18 (7.77)
	GPM: Baseline 20.41 (39.98), 12 mo 6.74 (19.70), 24 mo 2.06 (8.01), 36
	mo 1.09 (4.31)
	Bordeline symptom severity
	ZAN-BPD, total score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 15.49 (6.14), 12 mo 7.93 (6.11) 18 mo 6.92 (5.54), 24 mo
	8.17 (6.10) 30 mo 6.66 (5.24), 36 mo 8.29 (6.35)

	GPM: Baseline 14.94 (6.59), 12 mo 8.16 (5.79) 18 mo 8.35 (5.98), 24 mo 8.09 (5.89) 30 mo 7.82 (7.00), 36 mo 6.66 (5.49)
	Depression
	BDI-II, mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 37.15 (12.46), 12 mo 22.48 (16.20) 18 mo 21.00 (16.68), 24
	mo 22.24 (16.40) 30 mo 20.76 (16.82), 36 mo 24.45 (18.65)
	GPM: Baseline 35.4 (10.60), 12 mo 25.19 (15.05) 18 mo 23.05 (14.94), 24
	mo 21.67 (14.82) 30 mo 19.31 (15.33), 36 mo 18.05 (13.77)
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.
	25.
	Emotion regulation
	Anger (STAXI, Anger Expression Scale score), mean (SD)
	DBT: Baseline 17.92 (5.19), 12 mo 16.05 (5.50) 18 mo 16.13 (5.20), 24 mo
	14.48 (4.41) 30 mo 15.70 (4.43), 36 mo 15.95 (4.80)
	GPM: Baseline 17.6 (5.51), 12 mo 15.90 (5.10) 18 mo 15.38 (4.63), 24 mo 15.79 (5.12) 30 mo 14.84 (3.93), 36 mo 14.40 (3.47)
	255 (52) 50 110 1 110 (555), 50 110 14.40 (57)
	Difficulties with relationships
	Interpersonal functioning (IIP-64), total score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 119.00 (44.02), 12 mo 100.85 (50.52) 18 mo 91.96 (45.76),
	24 mo 94.93 (49.88) 30 mo 96.20 (48.22), 36 mo 94.48 (47.96)
	GPM: Baseline 121.30 (37.13), 12 mo 102.99 (45.61) 18 mo 98.68 (45.58), 24 mo 97.11 (48.46) 30 mo 89.62 (47.40), 36 mo 84.36 (45.46)
Comments	Of the 180 participants who entered the original study, 30 (16.7%) failed
Comments	to attend any follow-up assessments; 131 (73%), 128 (71%), 118 (66%),
	and 110 (61%) completed assessments at 18, 24, 30, and 36 months,
	respectively. Completion of all four follow-up assessments was achieved
	by 87 participants (48%).
	We conducted analyses on both the intent-to-treat population (N=180)
	and on the per protocol population, defined as the 167 participants who
	attended at least eight treatment sessions (dialectical behavior therapy, N=85; general psychiatric management, N=82).
Verheul 2003 [11], Van den Bosch 20	•
Risk of bias	Some concerns in both studies
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	From mental health institutions (n = 39) and addiction treatment services
Banadasian	(n = 19)
Population	Adult (18-65) females with BPD with (n = 31) and without (n =27) substance abuse problems. Mean (SD) age 35 (8) years.
Exclusion criteria	Bipolar disorder, chronic psychotic disorder, severe cognitive
	impairments, insufficient command of the Dutch language.
Follow up	6 months after EOT [12]
Intervention	12-month DBT
	Participants (31)
	Assessed at EOT (23) Assessed at follow-up (20)
Comparison	12-month TAU
	Participants (33)
	Assessed at EOT (25)
	Assessed at follow-up (24)
Outcomes	Self-harm
	Self-harm frequency in the previous 3-month period (Lifetime
	Parasuicide Count - LPC), mean (SD):  DBT: Baseline 1.28 (3.33), EOT 3.31 (13.15), follow-up 10.9 (34.28)
	TAU: Baseline 2.65 (4.72), EOT 41.6 (78.76), follow-up 33.9 (99.39)
	Group difference Baseline-EOT: F (1,51) = 11.85, p=0.00

	Group difference EOT-Follow-up: F (1,51) = 2.00, p=0.16
	Parasuicide
	Frequency of parasuicidal behavior in the previous 3-month period
	(BPD Severity Index, BPDSI), mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 0.36 (0.54), EOT 0.19 (0.38), follow-up 0.23 (0.45)
	TAU: Baseline 0.40 (0.48), EOT 0.48 (0.63), follow-up 0.43 (0.73)
	Group difference Baseline-EOT: F (1,248) = 1.99, p=0.16
	Group difference EOT-Follow-up: F (1,248) = 0.00, p=0.99
Comments	
Priebe 2012 [13], UK, RCT	T <sub>o</sub>
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	referreds to the DDT comics in the Lander Descript of Newhore Deferreds
Recruitment	referrals to the DBT service in the London Borough of Newham. Referrals
	were accepted from different sources including primary, secondary and
Population	tertiary services.  Individuals (87.5% women) with 5 days or more with self-harm in the
Population	year prior to treatment, age 16 years or over (32.28 +/-10.8), and a
	diagnosis of at least one personality disorder.
Exclusion criteria	Severe learning difficulties that would interfere with the individual's
ZAMOJOH GIRCHA	ability to participate in DBT treatment, and an inability to read or write
	English
Follow up	EOT
Intervention	Treatment: 12-month DBT
	Participants (40)
	Drop-outs (7) Analysed on primary outcome self harm (n = 38)
Comparison	Treatment: 12-month TAU
	Participants (40)
	Drop-outs (3) Analysed on primary outcome self harm (n = 36)
Outcomes	Self harm, (number of days over the 12-month period)
	incidence rate ratio 0.91, (95% CI 0.89–0.92), p<0.001
	Towards Dating Code of Dandards a Dansard His Disardar (TAN DDD)
	Zanarini Rating Scale of Borderline Personality Disorder (ZAN-BPD),
	mean (SD)  DBT: baseline (n=40) 17.9 (6.8), 12 mo (n=33) 13.1 (6.9)
	TAU: baseline (n=39) 18.4 (7.6), 12 mo (n=37) 15.9 (7.5)
	$\beta$ = -2.4 (95% CI, -5.7 to 1.0), p= 0.16
Comments	Forty-eight per cent of patients completed DBT. They had a greater
	reduction in self-harm compared to dropouts, incidence rate ratio 0.78,
	(95% CI 0.76–0.80), p<0.001)
Linehan 2006 [14], USA, RCT	
Risk of bias	Low
Setting	University outpatient clinic and community practice.
Recruitment	
Population	Women between the ages of 18 and 45 years (mean 29.3 $\pm$ 7.5) who met
	criteria for BPD and for current and past suicidal behavior as defined by
	at least 2 suicide attempts or self-injuries in the past 5 years, with at least
	1 in the past 8 weeks
Exclusion criteria	schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, psychotic
	disorder not otherwise specified, or mental retardation; a seizure
	disorder requiring medication; a mandate to treatment; or the need for
Follow up	primary treatment for another debilitating condition.  1 year
Intervention	
intervention	Treatment: 1 year dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) Participants (52)
	Drop-outs (10)
	Analyzed at EOT (50)
	Analyzed at EOT (36)  Analyzed at follow-up (46)
Comparison	Treatment: TAU (community treatment by experts, CTBE)

35)
e attempts
01
005
18.07)
attempts
25.41)
•
(SD)
3), 12 mo, 29.8 (24.5), follow up 24.1 (19.8)
6), 12 mo 32.8 (26.3), follow up 31.92 (26.8)
5), 12 52.6 (20.5), 10110W up 31.32 (20.6)
or Depression, mean (SD)
•
), 12 mo 14.0 (7.3), follow up 12.6 (6.8)
3, 12 mo 17.0 ± 8.2, follow up 14.4 ± 9.1
years with BPD defined by Gundersons
BPD and D- III-R criteria and a history of
,
lisorder, substance dependence, mental
action delivers action dependence, memal
v up to Linehan 1991 #31 (originally N=47, in this
vap to Emerian 1991 #31 (Originally N=47, in this
0 mg /24 mg /g) sasar (CD)
8 mo /24 mo, (n), mean (SD)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) ides 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11) n=18, 0.56 (0.24)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11) n=18, 0.56 (0.24) (STAS-T) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) ides 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11) n=18, 0.56 (0.24) (STAS-T) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=8, 32.99 (7.48)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11) n=18, 0.56 (0.24) (STAS-T) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=8, 32.99 (7.48) n=11, 38.19 (9.08)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11) n=18, 0.56 (0.24) (STAS-T) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=8, 32.99 (7.48) n=11, 38.19 (9.08)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11) n=18, 0.56 (0.24) (STAS-T) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=8, 32.99 (7.48) n=11, 38.19 (9.08) g e (GAS) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD)
n=18, 0.72 (1.56) n=18, 1.06 (1.55) des 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=18, 0.11 (0.11) n=18, 0.56 (0.24) (STAS-T) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD) n=8, 32.99 (7.48) n=11, 38.19 (9.08)
C

	Control of the transport (CAC I) 40 more (24 more (27) more (CD)
	Social adjustment (SAS-I) 18 mo/24 mo (n), mean (SD)
	DBT: n=7, 3.65 (0.96) / n=7, 3.23 (0.73)
0	TAU: n=7, 3.97 (0.64) / n=7, 4.23 (0.36)
Comments	A naturalistic follow up to Linehan 1991 [7]
Pistorello 2012 [16], USA, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	
Recruitment	College Counseling Centers
Population	Adults (81.0% women) between the ages of 18 and 25 (mean 20.86, SD 1.92), reported suicidal ideation at baseline as evidenced by a score of 1 or higher on Question 9 of the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), endorsed at least one act of lifetime NSSI and/or suicide attempt as measured by the Suicide Attempt-Self Injury Interview (SASII), 2006), and met three or more criteria on the BPD section of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Personality Disorders (SCID-II, BPD).
Exclusion criteria	Psychosis, need for inpatient care (as judged by assessor), or prior DBT treatment, and had to refrain from taking part in other psychotherapy during the treatment portion of the study.
Follow up	Follow up at 18 mo (6 months after EOT)
Intervention	Treatment: 7–12-month DBT Participants (31) Drop-outs (n) Analyzed at EOT (31) Lost to follow up (8) Analyzed at follow-up (23)
Comparison	Treatment: 7–12-month Optimized Treatment as Usual (O-TAU) Participants (32) Drop-outs (n) Analyzed at EOT (32) Lost to follow up (6) Analyzed at follow-up (25)
Outcomes	Suicidality, Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire (SBQ-23), mean (SD) DBT: baseline 31.42 (14.64), 3 mo 25.36 (19.18), 6 mo 23.36 (18.45), 9 mo 17.25 (14.84), 12 mo 13.64 (12.20), follow up 10.67 (10.34) O-TAU: baseline 32.88 (18.32), 3 mo 27.55 (18.83), 6 mo 23.84 (17.74), 9 mo 27.42 (22.39), 12 mo 24.92 (20.19), follow up 23.89 (22.83) EOT: t(57) = 2.02, p =0.049, d = 0.53 (0.02–1.03) Follow up: t(57) = 2.36, p = 0.022, d = 0.63 (0.12–1.13)  Suicide Attempts, Suicide Attempt Self-Injury Interview (SASII) DBT: baseline 19.4%, 3 mo 11.5%, 6 mo 4.5%, 9 mo 0%, 12 mo 0%, follow up 4.3% O-TAU: baseline 6.3%, 3 mo 3.2%, 6 mo 4.0%, 9 mo 0%, 12 mo 0%, follow up 7.1%  Suicide Attempts, Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire (SBQ) DBT: baseline 22.6%, 3 mo 8.0%, 6 mo 4.5%, 9 mo 0%, 12 mo 0%, follow up 4.3% O-TAU: baseline 12.5%, 3 mo 6.5%, 6 mo 4.0%, 9 mo 0%, 12 mo 8.3%, follow up 0%  Non-Suicidal Self-Injury, (SASII) DBT: baseline 66.7%, 3 mo 42.3%, 6 mo 22.7%, 9 mo 5.3%, 12 mo 8.3%, follow up 13.0% O-TAU: baseline 80.6%, 3 mo 29.0%, 6 mo 24.0%, 9 mo 24.0%, 12 mo 15.4%, follow up 7.1%  Mean count DBT (1.50 ± 1.12) vs O-TAU (5.23 ± 8.47); t(57) = -2.11, p = 0.04)

	Non-Suicidal Self-Injury, (SBQ)
	DBT: baseline 60.0%, 3 mo 52.0%, 6 mo 18.2%, 9 mo 12.5%, 12 mo
	13.6%, follow up 17.4%
	O-TAU: baseline 76.7%, 3 mo 35.5%, 6 mo 32.0%, 9 mo 25.0%, 12 mo
	12.5%, follow up 19.2%
	DDT 0 TAUL/56\ 2.20 0.002\
	DBT vs O-TAU $t(56) = -3.20$ , $p = 0.002$ )
	BPD Criteria (SCID-BPD), mean (SD)
	DBT: baseline 5.42 (1.65), 12 mo 1.17 (1.40), follow up (18 mo) 1.27
	(1.49)
	O-TAU: baseline 4.63 (1.74), 12 mo 2.73 (1.93), follow up (18 mo) 2.27
	(2.13)
	(2.13)
	Psychosocial functioning
	Social Adjustment, Social Adjustment Scale-Self-Report (SAS-SR Total
	Score), mean (SD)
	DBT: baseline 2.67 (0.43), 6 mo 2.27 (0.47), 12 mo 2.02 (0.50), follow up
	1.80 (0.38)
	O-TAU: baseline 2.67 (0.39), 6 mo 2.38 (0.66), 12 mo 2.25 (0.51), follow
	up 2.17 (0.55)
	Difference estimate = 0.019, se = .007, t (107) = 2.62, p = .01, d = 0.69
	(0.15–1.16)
	Depression, Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), mean (SD)
	DBT: baseline 34.74 (8.67), 3 mo 18.95 (12.44), 6 mo 18.90 (9.19), 9 mo
	13.06 (10.29), 12 mo 8.86 (8.52), follow up 7.61 (7.83)
	O-TAU: baseline 30.59 (11.38), 3 mo 20.33 (12.31), 6 mo 20.68 (15.59), 9
	mo 21.71 (16.89), 12 mo16.67 (12.87), follow up 15.42 (14.61)
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.
Comments	
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT	
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias	Some concerns
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting	Some concerns teaching hospital
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment	teaching hospital
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria	Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (5)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (5) Analyzed at follow up (37)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (5) Analyzed at follow up (37) Treatment: Waitlist
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (5) Analyzed at follow up (37)  Treatment: Waitlist Participants (42)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (5) Analyzed at follow up (37)  Treatment: Waitlist Participants (42) Analyzed at EOT (42)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (5) Analyzed at follow up (37)  Treatment: Waitlist Participants (42) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (3)
McMain 2017 [17], Canada, RCT Risk of bias Setting Recruitment Population  Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	teaching hospital  Adults 18–60 years (mean: 29.67 SD 8.62), 78.6% women meeting the criteria for BPD as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Version IV (DSM-IV), two suicidal and/ or NSSI episodes in the past 5 years, with one occurring within 10 weeks prior to enrolment and, able to understand written and spoken English.  Meeting DSM-IV criteria for a psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder or dementia, evidence of an organic brain syndrome or mental retardation based on clinical interview and participation in a DBT programme within the past year.  12 weeks after EOT  Treatment: 20-week DBT skills training Participants (42) Drop-outs of treatment (13) Analyzed at EOT (42) Lost to follow up (5) Analyzed at follow up (37)  Treatment: Waitlist Participants (42) Analyzed at EOT (42)

DBT skills: Baseline 56.35 (16.51), 10 wks 45.03 (13.74), 20 wks 33.72 (18.70), 32 wks 41.08 (22.41) Waitlist: Baseline 58.75 (19.64), 10 wks 53.61 (17.70), 20 wks 48.48 (22.21), 32 wks 45.99 (26.27) No. Suicidal and self-injurious episodes, Deliberate Self-harm Inventory (DSHI), mean (SD) DBT skills: Baseline 9.68 (25.89), 10 wks 3.32 (7.97), 20 wks 1.14 (3.26), 32 wks 0.32 (1.27) Waitlist: Baseline 10.12 (29.73), 10 wks 5.12 (12.91), 20 wks 2.59 (6.90), 32 wks 1.14 (3.94) No. Suicidal and self-injurious episodes, Lifetime Suicide and Self-Injury Interview (LSASI), mean (SD) DBT skills: Baseline 9.06 (8.31), 10 wks 5.07 (4.26), 20 wks 2.84 (2.36), 32 wks 1.41 (1.35) Waitlist: Baseline 8.33 (7.62), 10 wks 5.76 (4.80), 20 wks 3.96 (3.47), 32 wks 2.56 (2.40) Emotion regulation Anger (STAXI, Anger Expression Out Scale Score), mean (SD) DBT skills: Baseline 38.73 (9.88), 10 wks 34.23 (8.50), 20 wks 29.73 (9.27), 32 wks 30.29 (10.96) Waitlist: Baseline 45.22 (9.53), 10 wks 43.20 (8.71), 20 wks 41.18 (10.68), 32 wks 40.43 (12.16) Difficulties in emotion regulation scale (DERS), mean (SD) DBT skills: Baseline 131.43 (17.84), 10 wks 118.99 (15.55), 20 wks 106.55 (20.22), 32 wks 110.63 (26.87) Waitlist: Baseline 132.80 (16.79), 10 wks 129.75 (15.76), 20 wks 126.70 (18.76), 32 wks 128.06 (20.89) Psychosocial functioning Social adjustment scale-self-report (SAS-SR), mean (SD) DBT skills: Baseline 2.84 (0.46), 10 wks 2.67 (0.43), 20 wks 2.50 (0.56), 32 wks 2.60 (0.70) Waitlist: Baseline 2.84 (0.53), 10 wks 2.92 (0.50), 20 wks 2.88 (0.59), 32 wks 2.87 (0.65) Distress Tolerance Scale (DTS), Mean (SD) DBT skills: Baseline 5.11 (2.49), 10 wks 6.44 (2.16), 20 wks 7.77 (2.93), 32 wks 7.81 (3.64) Waitlist: Baseline 4.45 (2.34), 10 wks 4.95 (1.87), 20 wks 5.45 (2.49), 32 wks 5.28 (2.75) Depression Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI), mean (SD) DBT skills: Baseline 32.68 (10.95), 10 wks 27.72 (9.59), 20 wks 22.76 (12.55), 32 wks 27.94 (16.08) Waitlist: Baseline 36.70 (11.46), 10 wks 33.21 (11.02), 20 wks 29.73 (13.50), 32 wks 29.50 (15.71) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below Comments Mohamadizadeh 2017 [18], Iran, RCT Risk of bias Some concerns Setting All patients with BPD disorder who lived in the city of Qazvin in 2015. Recruitment **Population** Adults with BPD. Mean age not stated. 100 % female. **Exclusion criteria** Previous suicide attempt or recurrent suicidal behaviour. Perturbations such as bipolar disorder, substance abuse and personality disorder

Follow up	
Intervention	16 sessions of DBT-ST
	Participants (12)
	Drop-outs (not stated)
Comparison 1	16 sessions of Schema Therapy (ST)
	Participants (12)
	Drop-outs (not stated)
Comparison 2	No intervention (NI)
	Participants (12)
	Drop-outs (not stated)
Outcomes	Suicidal ideation
	Scale for Suicide Ideation of Mach (SSIM), mean scores (SD)
	DBT-ST: Baseline 33.41 (1.5), EOT 15.25 (1.65)
	ST: Baseline 31.83 (1.52), EOT 14.33 (1.55)
	NI: Baseline 31.58 (1.24), EOT 31 (0.96)
	DBT-ST vs ST at EOT: $t = 1.396$ , $p = 0.663$
	The Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), mean scores (SD):
	DBT-ST: Baseline 55 (3.93), EOT 24.16 (2.7)
	ST: Baseline 57 (4.44), EOT 19.83 (1.53)
	NI: Baseline 54.91 (3.08), EOT 53.75 (2.13)  DBT-ST vs ST at EOT: t= 3.034, p = 0.006
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.
Linehan 2015 [19], USA, RCT	25.
Risk of bias	Low
Setting	university-affiliated clinic and community settings
Recruitment	outreach to health care practitioners
Population	Women aged 18 to 60 years (mean 30.3 SD=8.9) with borderline personality
- opulation	disorder who had at least 2 suicide attempts and/or nonsuicidal self-
	injury (NSSI) acts in the last 5 years, an NSSI act or suicide attempt in the
	8 weeks before screening, and a suicide attempt in the past year
Exclusion criteria	IQ score of less than 70, met criteria for current psychotic or bipolar
	disorders on the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, Axis I <sup>9</sup> , seizure
	disorder requiring medication; or required primary treatment for another
	life-threatening condition (eg, severe anorexia nervosa).
Follow up	life-threatening condition (eg, severe anorexia nervosa).  1 year(follow up at 24 mo)
Follow up Intervention	
	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)
	1 year(follow up at 24 mo) Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33)
	1 year(follow up at 24 mo) Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8)
	1 year(follow up at 24 mo) Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)
	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (13)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (13) Included in analysis at EOT (33)
Comparison	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (13) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (24)
Intervention	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (13) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (24)  Suicide attempts, mean (SD)
Comparison	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (13) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at Follow-up (24)  Suicide attempts, mean (SD) Standard DBT: baseline 97% 3.6 (5.3), 12 mo 36% 3.4 (4.6), 24 mo 7% 2.0
Comparison	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (13) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at Follow-up (24)  Suicide attempts, mean (SD) Standard DBT: baseline 97% 3.6 (5.3), 12 mo 36% 3.4 (4.6), 24 mo 7% 2.0 (1.4)
Comparison	1 year(follow up at 24 mo)  Treatment: Standard DBT (includes skills training and individual therapy) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (27)  1.Treatment: DBT individual therapy plus activities group (DBT-I) 1 year Participants (33)Drop-outs (16) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at follow-up (22)  2. Treatment: DBT skills training plus case management (DBT-ST) 1 year Participants (33) Drop-outs (13) Included in analysis at EOT (33) Included in analysis at Follow-up (24)  Suicide attempts, mean (SD) Standard DBT: baseline 97% 3.6 (5.3), 12 mo 36% 3.4 (4.6), 24 mo 7% 2.0

	NSSI episodes, mean (SD) Standard DBT: baseline 81.8% 19.0 (25.4), 12 mo 58.1% 10.2 (16.3), 24 mo 44.8% 7.9 (8.5) DBT-I: baseline 90.1% 23.5 (35.3), 12 mo 63.0% 20.6 (33.1), 24 mo 39.1% 16.0 (32.6) DBT-ST: baseline 81.8% 24.7 (33.9), 12 mo 55.6% 9.9 (19.7), 24 mo 45.8% 9.4 (20.4)  Suicide ideation, The Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire, mean (SD) Standard DBT: baseline 50.9 (20.3), 12 mo 32.0 (21.6) 24 mo 28.9 (16.6) DBT-I: baseline 58.4 (17.9), 12 mo 30.3 (27.5), 24 mo 25.5 (20.8) DBT-ST: baseline 51.8 (17.3), 12 mo 27.5 (19.1), 24 mo 21.2 (19.2)  Depression, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, mean (SD) Standard DBT: baseline 22.1 (7.3), 12 mo 12.3 (8.0), 24 mo 15.2 (8.6) DBT-I: baseline 23.8 (6.4), 12 mo 18.2 (7.9), 24 mo 13.9 (9.6) DBT-ST: baseline 23.5 (5.4), 12 mo 10.4 (6.4), 24 mo 11.9 (8.8)  Anxiety, Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety, mean (SD) Standard DBT: baseline 24.6 (11.7), 12 mo 17.2 (10.2), 24 mo 18.3 (11.7) DBT-I: baseline 25.3 (10.6), 12 mo 24.3 (11.6), 24 mo 19.1 (12.2) DBT-ST: baseline 20.6 (9.2), 12 mo 14.2 (10.2), 24 mo 14.3 (11.7)
	DD1 31. baseline 20.0 (3.2), 12 ino 14.2 (10.2), 24 ino 14.3 (11.7)
Comments	
Lin 2019 [20], Taiwan, RCT	
Risk of bias	Low
Recruitment	College students recruited from two university counseling centers
Population	Adults 88% women, mean age 24.4 (SD 0.71), meeting criteria for BPD as well as having experienced at least one suicide attempt in the past 6 months.
Exclusion criteria	Diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, psychotic disorder; a current severe depression and suicide risk indicating the need for inpatient care and crisis intervention, experiencing current neurological signs and substance abuse during the last 6 months
Follow up	Follow up 24 wks after EOT
Intervention	Treatment: 8-week Dialectical Behavior Therapy Skills Training Group (DBTSTG) Participants (42) Drop-outs (6) Included in analysis at EOT (42) Included in analysis at follow-up (42)
Comparison	Treatment: 8-week Cognitive Therapy Group (CTG) Participants (40) Drop-outs (8) Included in analysis at EOT (40) Included in analysis at follow-up (39)
Outcomes	Suicide attempts Suicide reattempt rates DBTSTG: baseline 100%, 4 wks 14.28%, 8 week (posttest) 11.9%, 20 wks 0%, 32 wks 0% CTG: baseline 100%, 4 wks 12.5%, 8 week (posttest) 15%, 20 wks 0%, 32 wks 0%  Borderline symptom severity Borderline Personality Disorder Features Scale (BPDFS), mean (SD) DBTSTG: baseline 8.35 (0.53), 4 wks 7.00 (1.08), 8 weeks (posttest) 6.00 (0.98), 20 wks 5.27 (0.74), 32 wks 4.91 (0.60)

	CTG: baseline 8.25 (0.70), 4 wks 6.83 (1.09), 8 weeks (posttest) 5.74(.81),
	20 wks 5.40 (0.87), 32 wks 5.87 (0.18)
	Suicide ideation
	Adult Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire-Shortened Version (ASIQ-S),
	mean (SD)
	DBTSTG: baseline 65.23 (2.60), 4 wks 55.80 (6.51), 8 wks (posttest) 49
	(6.50), 20 wks 45.05 (5.75), 32 wks 40.27 (4.91)
	CTG: baseline 64.47 (3.11), 4 wks 55.01 (6.21), 8 wks (posttest) 48.54
	(7.01), 20 wks 44.53 (6.02), 32 wks 42.96 (5.23)
	Depression
	Ko's Depression Inventory, mean (SD)
	DBTSTG: baseline 35.57 (16.65), 4 wks 18.75 (8.25), 8 weeks (posttest)
	17.08 (6.02) 20 wks 21.29 (10.44), 32 wks 21.73 (8.38)
	CTG: baseline 34.80 (16.05), 4 wks 18.31 (9.15), 8 wks (posttest) 19.20
	(8.21), 20 wks 23.05 (11.93), 32 wks 23.33 (7.65)
Comments	
Turner 2000 [21], USA, RCT	1.
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Referrals from local hospital emergency services for suicide attempts to
2 1.:	community mental health outpatient clinic for follow-up services
Population	Patients with BPD. Mean age 22, range 18 to 27 years. 79 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, organic mental
Followun	disorders, mental retardation  12 month (EOT)
Follow up Intervention	12 month (EOT)  12-month Dialectical behavior therapy - oriented treatment (DBT)
intervention	Participants (12)
	Drop-outs from treatment (3)
	Available at EOT assessment (12)
Comparison	12-month Client-centered therapy (CCT)
	Participants (12)
	Drop-outs from treatment (6)
	Available at EOT assessment (12)
Outcomes	Parasuicide
	Mean (SD) number of parasuicide episodes in the last 6 months:
	DBT: Baseline 14.08 (3.73), EOT 0.75 (1.23)
	CCT: Baseline 13.58 (3.34), EOT 5.58 (5.28)
	0 episodes signified an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Target Behavior Ratings – Parasuicidal behavior, mean rating (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 7.17 (0.83), EOT 1.5 (1.98)
	CCT: Baseline 7.25 (0.75), EOT 4.25 (2.18)  Cutoff score 2, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Cutojj score 2, signijying an absence oj ciinicaliy signijicant symptoms
	Suicidal ideation
	Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation (BSI), mean score (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 24.08 (3.73), EOT 3.83 (8.03)
	CCT: Baseline 23.53 (3.34), EOT 11.58 (9.21)
	Cutoff score 3, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Emotion regulation
	Target Behavior Ratings – Impulsiveness, mean rating (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 7.42 (0.51), EOT 4.58 (1.62)
	CCT: Baseline 7.58 (0.51), EOT 6.08 (1.08)
	Cutoff score 2, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Target Behavior Ratings – Anger, mean rating (SD): DBT: Baseline 7.33 (0.65), EOT 4.67 (1.30)
	CCT: Baseline 7.08 (0.90), EOT 5.67 (1.15)
	Cutoff score 2, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Cutojj seere 2, signijying an absence of clinically significant symptoms

Depression Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 27.58 (5.30), EOT 14.92 (8.26)  CCT: Baseline 27.75 (6.11), EOT 24.08 (5.55)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96)  CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 27.58 (5.30), EOT 14.92 (8.26)  CCT: Baseline 27.75 (6.11), EOT 24.08 (5.55)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96)  CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety  Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
DBT: Baseline 27.58 (5.30), EOT 14.92 (8.26) CCT: Baseline 27.75 (6.11), EOT 24.08 (5.55) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29. Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96) CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53) CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
CCT: Baseline 27.75 (6.11), EOT 24.08 (5.55)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96)  CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety  Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96)  CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety  Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96)  CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety  Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
29.  Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96)  CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms  Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety  Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96)  CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety  Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
DBT: Baseline 20.75 (4.33), EOT 7.50 (5.96) CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53) CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
CCT: Baseline 17.42 (4.46), EOT 12.58 (3.90)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53) CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Anxiety Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53) CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Anxiety  Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Anxiety Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53) CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Beck Anxiety Inventory, mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53)  CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
DBT: Baseline 19.25 (3.55), EOT 10.17 (6.53) CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
CCT: Baseline 20.42 (3.45), EOT 14.83 (6.34)  Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
Comments
Dixon-Gordon 2015 [22], USA/Canada, RCT
Risk of bias Some concerns
Setting    Compared to the content of the content o
Recruitment Local treatment facilities and the broader community using flyers and online
advertisements  Adult formulation  Adult formula with BDD moon (SD) and 24 (12) years
Population Adult females with BPD, mean (SD) age 34 (12) years.
Exclusion criteria Current psychotic disorder diagnosis
Follow up 14 weeks (8 weeks after EOT)
Intervention 1 6 weeks DBT interpersonal effectiveness skills training (DBT-IE group)
Participants (6)
Drop-outs (1)
Analyzed at EOT (6) Analyzed at follow-up (5)
Intervention 2 6 weeks DBT emotion regulation skills training (DBT-ER group)
Participants (7)
Drop-outs (0)
Analyzed at EOT (7)
Analyzed at follow-up (7)
Comparison 6 weeks Interpersonal and Psycho-Education (IPE-group)
Participants (6)
Drop-outs (1)
Analyzed at EOT (6)
Analyzed at follow-up (5)
Outcomes Borderline symptom severity
Personality Assessment Inventory - Borderline scale (PAI-BOR ), mean
scores (SD):
DBT-IE: Baseline 51.17 (11.72), EOT 37.20 (13.29), follow up 41.80 (19.9
DBT-ER: Baseline 49.29 (11.35), EOT 39.86 (11.28), follow up 34.33
(10.91)
IPE: Baseline 43.00 (9.87), EOT 37.40 (15.68), follow up 30.50 (17.02)
Reliable change 11.4 points.
Self-harm
Deliberate Self-Harm Inventory (DSHI) frequency in the past month,
mean scores (SD):
DBT-IE: Baseline 1.50 (2.35), EOT 0.25 (0.50), follow up 0.80 (1.10)
DBT-ER: Baseline 8.14 (13.31), EOT 6.43 (10.98), follow up 3.17 (4.75)
IPE: Baseline 0.83 (1.60), EOT 0.00 (0.00), follow up 5.00 (10.00)

### Emotion regulation Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 109.50 (15.86), EOT 106.40 (22.01), follow up 107.60 (16.15) DBT-R: Baseline 123.86 (28.72), EOT 106.00 (31.13), follow up 95.33 (27.58)  IPE: Baseline 123.86 (28.72), EOT 106.00 (31.13), follow up 95.33 (27.58) IPE: Baseline 108.50 (14.69), EOT 97.00 (17.28), follow up 88.00 (25.92)  State Trait Anger Expression Inventory 2 Trait Anger scale (STAXI-T), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 27.50 (3.27), EOT 23.80 (6.80), follow up 27.00 (5.34) DBT-ER: Baseline 23.33 (4.03), EOT 23.00 (2.65), follow up 19.75 (6.13)  #### Psychosocial functioning Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised (SPSI-R), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 95.10 (11.09), EOT 39.00 (10.09), EOT 39.00 (10.08), follow up 83.60 (17.81) DBT-ER: Baseline 95.00 (11.09), EOT 89.00 (16.88), follow up 84.00 (13.52) IPE: Baseline 82.67 (16.87), EOT 88.60 (6.84), follow up 92.00 (13.34)  Distress Tolerance Scale (DTS), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 32.17 (12.41), EOT 56.60 (14.48), follow up 40.00 (7.52) DBT-ER: Baseline 33.14 (14.81), EOT 54.50 (15.49), follow up 46.83 (13.26) IPE: Baseline 43.83 (4.21), EOT 56.60 (14.48), follow up 55.00 (13.93)  **Depression** Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 32.17 (12.73), EOT 20.40 (14.01), follow up 14.05 (17.42) IPE: Baseline 26.50 (10.21), EOT 19.25 (9.71), follow up 19.75 (11.70) (2107 score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  **Comments**  Hamid 2020 [23], Iran, RCT** Risk of bias** Some concerns**  Setting** Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients*  Follow up 6 months after EOT* Intervention 1  12 sessions of DBT-ST**		
Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Comments  Hamid 2020 [23], Iran, RCT  Risk of bias  Some concerns  Setting  Recruitment  Referrals to public and private psychological clinics  Population  Male patients with borderline personality disorder, age 17 to 45 years old, mean age 28 years.  Exclusion criteria  Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients  Follow up  6 months after EOT  Intervention 1  12 sessions of DBT-ST		Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 109.50 (15.86), EOT 106.40 (22.01), follow up 107.60 (16.15) DBT-ER: Baseline 123.86 (28.72), EOT 106.00 (31.13), follow up 95.33 (27.58) IPE: Baseline 108.50 (14.69), EOT 97.00 (17.28), follow up 88.00 (25.92)  State Trait Anger Expression Inventory 2 Trait Anger scale (STAXI-T), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 27.50 (3.27), EOT 23.80 (6.80), follow up 27.00 (5.34) DBT-ER: Baseline 24.14 (6.77), EOT 21.57 (3.41), follow up 19.50 (4.93) IPE: Baseline 23.33 (4.03), EOT 23.00 (2.65), follow up 19.75 (6.13)  Psychosocial functioning Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised (SPSI-R), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 75.83 (20.27), EOT 77.75 (17.02), follow up 83.60 (17.81) DBT-ER: Baseline 69.00 (11.09), EOT 80.86 (16.38), follow up 84.00 (13.52) IPE: Baseline 82.67 (16.87), EOT 88.60 (6.84), follow up 92.00 (13.34)  Distress Tolerance Scale (DTS), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 35.14 (14.81), EOT 54.50 (15.49), follow up 42.00 (7.52) DBT-ER: Baseline 35.14 (14.81), EOT 54.50 (15.49), follow up 46.83 (13.26) IPE: Baseline 43.83 (4.21), EOT 56.60 (14.48), follow up 55.00 (13.93) Depression Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II), mean scores (SD): DBT-IE: Baseline 27.71 (15.09), EOT 20.40 (14.01), follow up 21.20 (14.52) DBT-ER: Baseline 27.71 (15.09), EOT 20.01 (19.57), follow up 19.75 (11.70)
Comments  Hamid 2020 [23], Iran, RCT  Risk of bias Some concerns  Setting Recruitment Referrals to public and private psychological clinics  Population Male patients with borderline personality disorder, age 17 to 45 years old, mean age 28 years.  Exclusion criteria Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients  Follow up 6 months after EOT Intervention 1 12 sessions of DBT-ST		Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
Hamid 2020 [23], Iran, RCT  Risk of bias Some concerns  Setting  Recruitment Referrals to public and private psychological clinics  Population Male patients with borderline personality disorder, age 17 to 45 years old, mean age 28 years.  Exclusion criteria Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients  Follow up 6 months after EOT  Intervention 1 12 sessions of DBT-ST	Comments	29.
Risk of bias  Setting  Recruitment  Referrals to public and private psychological clinics  Population  Male patients with borderline personality disorder, age 17 to 45 years old, mean age 28 years.  Exclusion criteria  Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients  Follow up  6 months after EOT  Intervention 1  12 sessions of DBT-ST		
SettingReferrals to public and private psychological clinicsPopulationMale patients with borderline personality disorder, age 17 to 45 years old, mean age 28 years.Exclusion criteriaAcute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patientsFollow up6 months after EOTIntervention 112 sessions of DBT-ST		Comp conserve
Recruitment       Referrals to public and private psychological clinics         Population       Male patients with borderline personality disorder, age 17 to 45 years old, mean age 28 years.         Exclusion criteria       Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients         Follow up       6 months after EOT         Intervention 1       12 sessions of DBT-ST		Some concerns
Population  Male patients with borderline personality disorder, age 17 to 45 years old, mean age 28 years.  Exclusion criteria  Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients  Follow up  6 months after EOT  Intervention 1  12 sessions of DBT-ST		Referrals to public and private psychological clinics
old, mean age 28 years.  Exclusion criteria  Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients  Follow up  6 months after EOT  Intervention 1  12 sessions of DBT-ST		
Exclusion criteria       Acute physical and psychological disorder, psychotic disorder, mood disorder, substance abuse, and psychiatric patients         Follow up       6 months after EOT         Intervention 1       12 sessions of DBT-ST		
Follow up 6 months after EOT 12 sessions of DBT-ST	Exclusion criteria	
Intervention 1 12 sessions of DBT-ST		
	Intervention 1	
Total of 45 participants in the study. Neither information on number of		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
participants in each group, nor information about drop-outs.  Intervention 2 12 sessions of Schema Therapy (ST)	Intervention 2	
Total of 45 participants in the study. Neither information on number of		
participants in each group, nor information about drop-outs.		
Comparison 3 sessions of no intervention (Control)	Comparison	
Total of 45 participants in the study. Neither information on number of		
participants in each group, nor information about drop-outs.		
Outcomes Psychosocial functioning	Outcomes	
Disrupted communication  DBT-ST: Baseline 22.29 (2.36), EOT 5.28 (1.13), follow-up 5.60 (1.24)		
ST: Baseline 22.64 (1.35), EOT 8.09 (0.48), follow-up 8.06 (0.90)		
Control: Baseline EOT 21.29 (1.28) follow-up 21.32 (1.64)		

	Mean difference (SE) Baseline – EOT: DBT-ST vs ST: 2.46 (0.73), p = 0.007
	DBT-ST vs Control: 17.0 (0.73), p < 0.001
	Mean difference (SE) Baseline – Follow-up:
	DBT-ST vs ST: 2.11 (0.74), p = 0.022
	DBT-ST vs Control: 16.7 (0.74), p < 0.001
	Facational constant
	Emotional regulation Emotional deregulation
	Baseline score all participants, mean (SD): 16.81 (1.41)
	DBT-ST: EOT 6.04 (0.47), follow-up 6.0 (0.50)
	ST: EOT 4.59 (0.68), follow-up 4.45 (0.74)
	Control: EOT 14.48 (1.43), follow-up 15.34 (0.99)
	Mean difference (SE) Baseline – EOT:
	DBT-ST vs ST: -0.73 (0.57), p = 0.419
	DBT-ST vs Control: 10.1 (0.57), p < 0.001
	Mean difference (SE) Baseline – Follow-up:
	DBT-ST vs ST: -0.85 (0.46), p = 0.179
	DBT-ST vs Control: 11.0 (0.46), p < 0.001
Steuwe 2021 [24], Germany, RCT	1
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Residential setting
Recruitment	Consecutively screening
Population	Females 18–65 years with BPD and PTSD (score of at least 50 points on
	the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale). Mean (SD) age 31 (8) years.
Exclusion criteria	Clinically evaluated doubts about the capacity to consent and to
	contract, pregnancy,
	breastfeeding, lifetime diagnosis of schizophrenia, body mass index <16.5, current substance use, a suicide attempt 2 months before
	admission, ongoing victimizing perpetrator contact, undergoing a DBT- or
	exposure-based therapy within the last 12 months.
Follow up	12 months after EOT
Intervention	10-week Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET)
	Participants (30)
	Drop-outs from treatment (5)
	Assessed at EOT (26)
Comparison	Assessed at follow-up (26)  10-week DBT based treatment (DBT-bt)
Companson	Participants (30)
	Drop-outs from treatment (14)
	Assessed at EOT (18)
	Assessed at follow-up (22)
Outcomes	Borderline symptom severity
	BPD Criteria (DSM-IV, SCID-II), mean score (SD):
	NET: Baseline 7.03 (1.27), EOT no data, follow-up 4.80 (2.67)
	DBT-bt: Baseline 6.90 (1.35), EOT no data, follow-up 4.41 (2.13) F (1,40) = 0.32, ns
	. (-,,,,,,,,,,
	Depression
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), mean score (SD):
	NET: Baseline 36.65 (9.00), EOT 25.31 (12.47), follow-up 25.47 (15.06)
	DBT-b: Baseline 43.19 (6.15), EOT 27.70 (12.95), follow-up 30.17 (12.75)
	F (2,78) = 0.80, ns Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.

Comments	
Soler 2009 [25], Spain, RCT	I
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	From an outpatient borderline personality disorder unit
Population	Adults (age 18-45) with BPD and Clinical Global Impression of Severity (CGI-S) score of 4 or higher. Mean (SD) age 29 (6) years. 81 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Schizophrenia, drug-induced psychosis, organic brain syndrome, alcohol or other psychoactive substance dependence, bipolar disorder, mental retardation, major depressive episode in course
Follow up	3-month (EOT)
Intervention	3-month DBT skills training (DBT-ST) Participants (29) Drop-outs from treatment (10) Analyzed at EOT (29)
Comparison	3-month Standard group therapy (SGT) Participants (30) Drop-outs from treatment (19) Analyzed at EOT (30)
Outcomes	Self-harm  No information about measurement. No data except from n.s. difference between groups.
	Suicide attempts  No information about measurement. No data except from n.s. difference between groups.
	Borderline symptom severity Clinical Global Impression-Borderline Personality Disorder (CGI-BPD), mean total score (SD): DBT-ST: Baseline 4.78 (0.80), EOT 3.50 (1.20) SGT: Baseline 4.89 (0.33), EOT 4.44 (0.52) p=0.218
	Depression Hamilton Rating Scale-Depression (HRSD-17), mean score (SD): DBT-ST: Baseline 17.05 (3.83), EOT 11.11 (3.99) SGT: Baseline 20.67 (3.67), EOT 16.00 (5.78) p=0.001
	Anxiety Hamilton Rating Scale-Anxiety (HRSA), mean score (SD): DBT-ST: Baseline 19.63 (5.20), EOT 13.00 (5.46) SGT: Baseline 22.67 (3.57), EOT 16.56 (5.00) p=0.034
	p=0.787
Comments	
Walton 2020 [26], Australia, RCT	
Risk of bias	Low
Setting	Specialist outpatient service for BPD
Recruitment	Referrals from community mental health teams, general practitioners or private therapists
Population	Adults (18-65 years) with BPD with three or more suicide attempts
	and/or NSSI episodes in the last 12 months. Mean (SD) age 27 (8) years. 77 % female.

	significant threat to staff and fellow patients, developmental disability,
	living more than 1 hour's drive from the treatment centre, inability to
	speak or read English, current substance dependence other than
	nicotine, prior treatment with DBT or CM.
Follow up	14 months (EOT)
Intervention	14-month DBT
intervention	Participants (83)
	, , ,
	Drop-outs during tratment (28) Analysed at EOT (81)
Comparison	14-month Conversational model (CM)
Comparison	Participants (83)
	Drop-outs during tratment (20)
Outcomes	Analysed at EOT (81)
Outcomes	Suicide attempts  Maca (SS) number of quicide etterants in the last 7 months.
	Mean (SD) number of suicide attempts in the last 7 months:
	DBT: Baseline 19.96 (51.4), EOT 1.22 (2.75)
	CM: Baseline 9.71 (26.69), EOT 2.42 (13.94)
	Wald's x2 = 1.48, p = 0.223
	Self-harm
	Mean (SD) number of NSSI episodes in the last 7 months:
	DBT: Baseline 63.00 (92.17), EOT 10.04 (37.08)
	CM: Baseline 77.51 (113.53), EOT 14.19 (27.90)
	Wald's $\chi 2 = 0.05$ , p = 0.828
	Borderline symptom severity
	Borderline Personality Disorder Severity Index (BPDSI-IV)
	DBT: Baseline 40.13 (11.45), EOT 19.00 (9.83)
	CM: Baseline 36.80 (12.50), EOT 19.49 (11.10)
	Wald's χ2 = 0.58, p = 0.445
	Depression Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 38.63 (10.31), EOT 15.94 (14.52) CM: Baseline 35.64 (10.68), EOT 22.13 (17.78) Wald's $\chi 2 = 8.00$ , $p = 0.005$ Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.  Difficulties with relationships Inventory of Interpersonal Problems (IIP), mean score (SD): DBT: Baseline 128.43 (29.25), EOT 94.25 (44.57) CM: Baseline 120.38 (31.81), EOT 99.08 (39.89) Wald's $\chi 2 = 2.30$ , $p = 0.130$ Emotion regulation Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS), mean scores (SD): DBT: Baseline 134.34 (21.57), EOT 87.08 (28.0) CM: Baseline 133.53 (21.10), EOT 105.16 (33.90) Wald's $\chi 2 = 7.04$ , $p = 0.008$
Comments	σχ_ /10 1/ β σ1000
Adolescents with BPD receiv	ing MBT
Beck 2020 [27] and Jorgensen 2021 [	28], Denmark, RCT
Risk of bias (overall)	Low in both studies
Setting	Four child and adolescent psychiatric outpatient clinics
Recruitment	p. 1
Population	Adolescents 14-17 years with ≥4 DSM-5 BPD criteria. 99 % were females
· operation	and 96 % had BPD. Mean age at baseline 16 years.
	and 30 70 mad by b. Mican age at basenine 10 years.

Exclusion criteria	Pervasive developmental disorder, learning disability (IQ < 75), anorexia,
Exclusion criteria	current psychosis, schizophrenia, schizotypal personality disorder,
	antisocial personality disorder, any other mental disorder other than BPD
	considered the primary diagnosis, current substance dependence, and
	current psychiatric inpatient treatment.
Follow up	12 months [28]
Intervention	12-month group based MBT (MBT-G)
	Participants (56)
	Drop-out of treatment 32 (of which 17 gave available data for primary
	outcome at EOT)  Provided data at 12 month follow-up for primary outcome (46)
Comparison	12-month TAU
Companison	Participants (56)
	Drop-out of treatment 14 (of which 3 gave available data for primary
	outcome at EOT)
	Provided data at 12 month follow-up for primary outcome (51)
Outcomes	Borderline symptom severity
	Borderline Personality Features Scale for Children (BPFS-C), mean score
	(SD):
	MBT-G: Baseline 80.7 (11.0), EOT 71.3 (15.0), follow-up 68.6 (15.0) TAU: Baseline 79.0 (12.9), EOT 71.3 (15.2), follow-up 67.7 (14.8)
	(Minimal clinical relevant difference 12 units [Beck 2016. Trials, 17, 314])
	(William Chined relevant difference 12 diffes [Beek 2010. Hidis, 17, 514])
	Borderline Personality Features Scale for Parents (BPFS-P), mean scores
	(SD):
	MBT-G: Baseline 78.3 (12.7), EOT 69.1 (12.4), follow-up 66.9 (14.5)
	TAU: Baseline 77.9 (14.8), EOT 68.7 (16.8), follow-up 62.0 (16.7)
	Zanavini Datina Caala fay Baydaylina Daysayality Disayday (ZANI DDD)
	Zanarini Rating Scale for Borderline Personality Disorder (ZAN-BPD), total mean scores (SD):
	MBT-G: Baseline 12.8 (7.5), EOT 8.8 (6.5), follow-up 6.5 (4.4)
	TAU: Baseline 13.3 (7.8), EOT 8.0 (7.3), follow-up 6.9 (5.9)
	Self-harm
	Risk-Taking and Self-Harm Inventory for adolescents (RTSHIA), men
	scores (SD):  MADE C: Passiline 40.3 (10.8) FOT 40.8 (11.3) follow up 40.4 (0.7)
	MBT-G: Baseline 40.3 (10.8), EOT 40.8 (11.2), follow-up 40.4 (9.7) TAU: Baseline 40.2 (10.6), EOT 39.0 (13.4), follow-up 39.7 (13.3)
	1AO. Bascinic 40.2 (10.0), 101 33.0 (13.4), follow up 33.7 (13.3)
	Difficulties with relationships
	BPD Relationships symptoms (ZAN-BPD), mean scores (SD):
	MBT-G: Baseline 2.3 (2.1), EOT 1.7 (1.5), follow-up 1.0 (1.4)
	TAU: Baseline 2.7 (2.0), EOT 1.3 (1.9), follow-up 1.2 (1.5)
	Danussian
	Depression  Beck's Depression Inventory for Youth (BDI-Y), mean scores (SD):
	MBT-G: Baseline 75.9 (9.3), EOT 23.3 (11.2), follow-up 21.4 (9.7)
	TAU: Baseline 76.2 (11.6), EOT 22.2 (12.3), follow-up 20.1 (11.4)
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.
	Development franchismin
	Psychosocial functioning Social functioning - Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS), mean
	scores (SD):
	MBT-G: Baseline 35.7 (9.1), EOT 46.1 (13.4), follow-up 50.1 (13.6)
	TAU: Baseline 35.2 (11.1), EOT 46.7 (12.6), follow-up 50.4 (11.9)
Comments	
Rossouw 2012 [29], UK, RO	CT
Risk of bias	Low

Setting	
Recruitment	consecutive case individuals presenting with self-harm to community
	mental health services or acute hospital emergency rooms.
Population	Adolescents 12 through 17 years of age mean age 14.7 years, (85% female) who presented with at least one episode of confirmed self-harm
	within the past month and was confirmed as intentional consecutively
	presenting to mental health services with self-harm and comorbid
	depression
Exclusion criteria	comorbid diagnosis of psychosis, severe learning disability (IQ < 65),
	pervasive developmental disorder, or eating disorder in the absence of
	self-harm
Follow up	
Intervention	Treatment: 12-month MBT-A
	Participants (40)
	Drop-outs (20) 40 included in analyses
Comparison	Treatment: 12-month TAU
	Participants (40)
Outcomes	Drop-outs (23) 40 included in analyses  Self-Harm, Risk-Taking and Self-Harm Inventory (RTSHI), mean (SD)
Outcomes	MBT-A: baseline 3.12 (0.09), 3 mo 2.02 (0.19), 6 mo 1.98 (0.17), 9 mo
	1.37 (0.20) 12 mo 1.33 (0.22)
	TAU: baseline 3.08 (0.10), 3 mo 2.19 (0.18) 6 mo 2.21 (0.20) 9 mo 2.04
	(0.21) 12 mo 2.01 (0.21)
	Group differences at 12 mo, OR -0.74 (-1.32 to -0.15) p<0.01
	Depression, Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (MFQ), mean (SD)
	MBT-A: baseline 17.46 (0.843), 3 mo 12.11 (1.22), 6 mo 12.34 (1.08) 9
	mo 7.76 (1.01)
	12 mo 9.26 (1.27)
	TAU: baseline 16.32 (0.74) 3 mo 12.89 (1.01) 6 mo 12.79 (1.15) 9 mo
	11.66 (1.17) 12 mo 11.54 (1.14)
	Group differences at 12 mo, OR -3.31 (-6.49 to -0.12) p<0.05
	Childhood Interview for DSM-IV Borderline Personality Disorder (CI-BPD)
	MBT-A: baseline (n=40) 0.75 (0.07), 12 mo (n=29) 0.33 (0.09)
	TAU: baseline (n=40) 0.70 (0.07), 12 mo (n=30) 0.58 (0.09)
	Borderline Personality Features Scale for Children (Mean BPFS-C)
	MBT-A: baseline (n=40) 3.33 (0.08), 12 mo (n=29) 2.79 (0.10)
	TAU: baseline (n=40) 3.30 (0.08), 12 mo (n=30) 3.06 (0.12)
Comments	
Adults with BPD receiving M	BT
Carlyle 2020 [30], New Zealand, RCT	
Risk of bias (overall)	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient setting in a publicly funded MHS
Recruitment	Subjects were existing patients of the community mental health service,
	with a diagnosis of BPD, confirmed by the SCID-II
Population	Adults with BPD. 99% female. Mean age at baseline 32 years, SD 11 years
Exclusion criteria	Diagnoses of psychoses, primary substance dependence, insufficient
	proficiency in English, concurrent engagement in a structured
- "	psychological treatment for personality disorder.
Follow up	18 months (EOT)
Intervention	18 months of MBT
	Participants (38)
	Drop-outs (7)
6	Analyzed at EOT (38)
Comparison	18 months of Enhanced Therapeutic Case Management (ETCM)

	T
	Participants (34)
	Drop-outs (3)
	Analyzed at EOT (34)
Outcomes	Self-harm
	Number of participants with at least one episode of NSSH over 18
	months follow up
	MBT: 12 (32 %)
	ETCM: 17 (50 %)
	Chi-squared = 2.62
	p = 0.11
	Rate of NSSH per patient month (SD) over 18 months follow up
	MBT: 0.09 (0.2)*
	ETCM: 0.22 (0.2)*
	Rate ratio: 0.43 (95% CI 0.30 -0.59)
	Chi-squared = 2.62
	p < 0.001
	SD calculated through p-value of 0.001.
	Suicide attempts
	Number of participants engaging in SA over 18 months follow up
	MBT: 19 (50 %)
	ETCM: 18 (53 %)
	Chi-squared = 0.06
	p = 0.81
	Rate of SA per patient month over 18 months follow up
	MBT: 0.20
	ETCM: 0.14
	Rate ratio: 1.48 (95% CI 1.09 -2.02)
	p = 0.009
Comments	The authors believe the finding of higher SA rate in the MBT group could
	be a result of switching therapists in the different phases of the MBT
	treatment, whereas in the ETCM group the therapists remained the same
	over the study period. This could be of special importance for patients
	with BPD for whom attachments are particularly problematic and for
	whom the ending of a relationship can and often does result in intense
	dysphoria and self-harm. The authors stress the great reductions of SH
	and SA in both groups over the study period.
Bateman 2009 [31], UK, RCT	
Risk of bias (Overall)	Low
Setting	Hospital specialist personality disorder service
Recruitment	Consecutive referrals for personality disorder treatment from clinical
no. animone	services
Population	Adults with BPD and suicide attempt or episode of life-threatening self-
ropulation	
	harm within last 6 months. Mean age 31 (SD 8) years at baseline. 80 % female.
Evolucion critoria	
Exclusion criteria	Long-term psychotherapeutic treatment, psychotic disorder, bipolar I
	disorder, opiate dependence requiring specialist treatment, mental
F-II	impairment or evidence of organic brain disorder.
Follow up	18-months = EOT
Intervention	18-monthMentalization-Based Treatment (MBT)
	Participants (71)
	Drop-outs (19)
	Analyzed at EOT (71)
Comparison	18-month Structured Clinical Management (SCM)
	Participants (63)
	Drop-outs (16)
	Analyzed at EOT (63)
Outcomes	Suicide attempts
	•

# Mean number (SD) of patients with at least one suicide attempt in the last six-month period:

MBT: Baseline 53 (74.6 %), EOT 2 (2,82 %) SCM: Baseline 42 (66.7 %), EOT 16 (25,4%)

Chi-squared = 12.8

P < 0.0004

Relative risk=0.11 (95% CI 0.02-0.46)

OR 0.37 (95% CI 0.21-0.62)

#### Mean number (SD) of suicide attempts in the last six-month period:

MBT: Baseline 1.28 (1.15), EOT 0.03 (0.17) SCM: Baseline 1.0 (0.92), EOT 0.32 (0.62) IRR 0.63 (95% CI 0.53-0.75)

#### Number of suicide attempts in the last 18-month period\*:

MBT: EOT 72 SCM: EOT 103

\*) Data calculated from Table 2.

#### Mean number (SD) of suicide attempts in the last 18-month period:

MBT: EOT 1.01 SCM: EOT 1.62 MD (SE): -0.61 (0.1)\*\*

\*\*) SE calculated from data in Table 2

#### Self-harm

## Number of patients with at least one episode of self-harm in the last six-month period:

MBT: Baseline 55 (77.5 %), EOT 17 (24,0 %) SCM: Baseline 46 (73.0 %), EOT 27 (42,9%) Chi-squared = 4.6 p<0.05

Relative risk=0.55 (95% CI 0.33–0.92)

OR 0.39 (95% CI 0.23-0.66)

#### Mean number (SD) of self-harm incidents in the last six-month period:

MBT: Baseline 4.11 (4.90), EOT 0.38 (0.83) SCM: Baseline 3.75 (3.69), EOT 1.66 (2.86), IRR 0.69 (95% CI 0.59-0.82)

#### Depression

#### Beck Depression Inventory score (BDI), mean scores (SD):

MBT: Baseline 29.83 (10.09), EOT 14.8 (8.55) SCM: Baseline 29.1 (8.81), EOT 18.7 (8.76) IRR -1.54 (95% CI –2.67 to –0.42), p<0.01

Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.

#### **Psychosocial functioning**

#### Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF), mean scores (SD):

MBT: Baseline 41.0 (8.4), EOT 60.9 (15.8), SCM: Baseline 41.0 (8.4), EOT 53.2 (11.7), IRR 2.61 (95% CI 1.33 to 3.89), p<0.001

#### Social Adjustment Scale (SAS), mean scores (SD):

MBT: Baseline 2.74 (0.46), EOT 1.76 (0.50) SCM: Baseline 2.70 (0.64), EOT 2.17 (0.64) IRR -0.14 (95% CI -0.21 to -0.08), p<0.001

#### Difficulties with relationships

Inventory of Interpersonal Problems, mean scores (SD):

	MDT: Decelies 2.04 (0.54), FOT 4.20 (0.42)
	MBT: Baseline 2.01 (0.54), EOT 1.28 (0.13)
	SCM: Baseline 2.04 (0.47), EOT 1.65 (0.55) IRR -0.12 (95% CI -0.19 to -0.04), p<0.001
Comments	inn -0.12 (35% Ci -0.13 to -0.04), p<0.001
Bateman 2021 [32], UK, RCT	
Risk of bias (overall)	Some concerns
Setting	Hospital specialist personality disorder service
Recruitment	Consecutive referrals for personality disorder treatment from clinical
	services
Population	Adults with BPD and suicide attempt or episode of life-threatening self-harm within last 6 months. Baseline: Mean age 31 (SD 8) years at the time of randomization. 80 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Long-term psychotherapeutic treatment, psychotic disorder, bipolar I disorder, opiate dependence requiring specialist treatment, mental impairment or evidence of organic brain disorder.
Follow up	8-year after randomization follow up. Original RCT Bateman 2009 #1449 [ref] investigated 18-month treatment of MBT or SCM.
Intervention	Mentalization-Based Treatment (MBT) Participants (52) Drop-outs of treatment (16)
Comparison	Structured Clinical Management (SCM) Participants (47)
Outcomes	Drop-outs of treatment (22)  Borderline symptom severity
	Number of participants meeting SCID-DSM-criteria for BPD diagnosis:  MBT: Baseline 71/71, 1 year after EOT 5/71  SCM: Baseline 63/63, 1 year after EOT 11/63  No ITT-statistics available (only PP statistics)  Number of SCID-DSM-criteria (SD) for BPD diagnosis:
	MBT: Baseline 5.58 (0.8)*, 1 year after EOT 2.34 (1.86) (n=39) SCM: Baseline 5.58 (0.8)*, 1 year after EOT 3.03 (2.24) (n=33) No ITT-statistics available (only PP statistics)  *Value from Table S1, data for all patients who were followed up
	Suicide attempts Number of patients with at least one ED visit due to suicide attempt, aggregated over a five-year post-treatment period:  MBT: 6 (12%)  SCM: 12 (27%)  Chi-squared = 3.65  p = 0.056  Mean (SE) number of occasions of ED visits due to suicide attempts, aggregated over a five-year post-treatment period:  MBT: 0.02 (0.02), n = 52  SCM: 0.09 (0.05), n = 45  IRR (95% CI): 0.28 (0.04-1.98)  p = 0.2
	Self-harm  Number of patients with at least one ED visit due to severe self-harm, aggregated over a five-year post-treatment period:  MBT: 2 (4%), n = 50  SCM: 7 (16%), n = 44  Chi-squared = 3,92  p = 0.047  Mean (SE) number of occasions of ED visits due to severe self-harm, aggregated over a five-year post-treatment period:  MBT: 0.01 (0.01), n = 50, SD = 0.07 (calculated from SE)  SCM: 0.04 (0.03), n = 44, SD = 0.20 (calculated from SE)

	IRR (95% CI): 0.32 (0.02-6.14)
	p = 0.449
Comments	241   D.
	34], and Bateman 2008 [35], UK, RCT
Risk of bias	Some concerns (all three studies)
Setting	Partial hospitalization psychotherapy unit.
Recruitment	Consecutive referrals.
Population	Adults with BPD. Mean (SD) age at baseline 32 (6) years. 58 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, substance misuse, mental impairment, organic brain disorder
Follow up	18 months after EOT [34] and eight years after randomization/five years
Tollow up	after EOT [35].
Intervention	18-month MBT
	Participants (25)
	Drop-out during treatment (6)
	Analyzed at EOT (22)
	Drop-out during 18-month follow-up (0)
	Drop-out during 5-year follow-up (0)
Comparison	18-month TAU (standard treatment in the general psychiatric services)
	Participants (19)
	Drop-out during treatment (0 drop-outs, 16 randomized + 3 cross over
	from MBT group due to ethical reasons)
	Analyzed at EOT (19)  Drop-out during 18-month follow-up (0)
	Drop-out during 15-month follow-up (0)  Drop-out during 5-year follow-up (0)
Outcomes	Suicide attempts
outcomes	Number of patients with at least one suicide attempt during the last 6-
	month period:
	MBT: Baseline 18/25, EOT 1 /22, 18-month follow-up 4/22, 5-year follow-
	up 2/22**
	TAU: Baseline* 14/16, EOT* 12/19, 18-month follow-up 12/19, 5-year
	follow-up 8/19**
	Group difference at EOT $p < 0.001$ , 18-month $p < 0.001$ , 5-year $p < 0.01$
	*) Data from Figure 1, Bateman 1999
	**) Data from figure 1, Bateman 2008.
	Self-harm
	Number of patients with at least one episode of self-harm during the
	last 6-month period:
	MBT: Baseline* 15/19, EOT* 7/19, 18-month follow-up 5/22, 5-year
	follow-up NO DATA
	TAU: Baseline* 17/19, EOT* 16/19, 18-month follow-up 13/19, 5-year
	follow-up NO DATA
	Group difference at EOT p < 0.001, 18-month p < 0.004
	*) Data from Figure 1, Bateman 1999
	Boundard's a sussession accounts
	Borderline symptom severity
	Zanarini Rating Scale for Borderline Personality Disorder, Positive criteria, mean (SD):
	MBT: Baseline, EOT, 18-month follow-up NO DATA, 5-year follow-up 3
	(14)
	TAU: Baseline, EOT, 18-month follow-up NO DATA, 5-year follow-up 13
	(87)
	Group difference 5-year follow-up, p < 0.001
	Anxiety
	Spielberg State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; State (STAI-S), mean score (SD):
	MBT: Baseline 68.4 (7.0), EOT 52.5 (11.5), 18-month follow-up 32.6 (5.9),
	5-year follow-up NO DATA

TAU: Baseline 63.2 (6.8), EOT 65.5 (9.3) n = 14, 18-month follow-up 52.4 (10.3) n =15, 5-year follow-up NO DATA Spielberg State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; Trait (STAI-T), mean score (SD): MBT: Baseline 66.5 (6.1) EOT 56.8 (9.1), 18-month follow-up 34.4 (6.1), 5-year follow-up NO DATA TAU: Baseline 62.0 (9.9), EOT 61.0 (7.6) n =15, 18-month follow-up 42.7 (10.1) n =15, 5-year follow-up NO DATA Depression Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), mean score (SD): MBT: Baseline 36.0 (7.6), EOT 20.6 (7.0), 18-month follow-up 11.9 (3.3), 5-year follow-up NO DATA TAU: Baseline 34.9 (7.4), EOT 35.2 (7.4), 18-month follow-up 20.4 (10.5) n=15, 5-year follow-up NO DATA Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below Psychosocial functioning Social Adjustment Scale, mean score (SD): MBT: Baseline NO DATA, EOT 2.8 (0.6) n =20, 18-month follow-up 2.2 (0.5) n =20, 5-year follow-up NO DATA TAU: Baseline NO DATA, EOT 3.3(0.6), 18-month follow-up 3.5 (0.7), 5year follow-up NO DATA Group difference at EOT (F=8.7, df=1, 33, p<0.006), 18-months (F=25.2, df=1, 36, p<0.001) Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (GAF), mean total score (SD): MBT: Baseline, EOT, 18-month follow-up NO DATA, 5-year follow-up 5.5 (5.2)TAU: Baseline, EOT, 18-month follow-up NO DATA, 5-year follow-up 15.1 (5.3)Group difference 5-year follow-up, p < 0.001 Difficulties with relationships Inventory of Interpersonal Problems (IIP), mean scores (SD): MBT: Baseline 2.38 (0.33), EOT 1.86 (0.36) n =21, 18-month follow-up 1.5 (0.4) n =21, 5-year follow-up NO DATA TAU: Baseline 2.31 (0.32), EOT 2.60 (0.29), 18-month follow-up 2.5 (0.5), 5-year follow-up NO DATA Group difference at EOT (F=63.7, df=1, 34, p<0.001) Comments Laurenssen 2018 [36], The Netherlands, RCT Risk of bias Some concerns Mental healthcare institutes Setting Recruitment **Population** Adults with BPD mean age 34 years (SD 10), approximately 79% females, and a total score on the BPDSI of at least 20, reflecting severe BPD **Exclusion criteria** Schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, personality Disorders (SCID-I), substance abuse requiring specialist treatment, organic brain disorder, IQ below 80, and inadequate mastery of the Dutch language. Follow up Treatment: 18 months Day hospital mentalization-based treatment Intervention (MBT-DH) Participants (54) Drop-outs (16) Analysed at EOT (54) Comparison Treatment: specialist treatment as usual (S-TAU) Participants (41) Drop-outs (12) Analysed at EOT (41)

Outcomes	Borderline symptom severity  Change in the bonderline provided discarder coverity in dev (BBBSI)
	Change in the borderline personality disorder severity index (BPDSI),
	mean (SD): MBT-DH: baseline 34.32(8.35), 6 mo 30.64 (12.34), 12 mo 25.60 (12.94),
	MB1-DH: baseline 34.32(8.35), 6 mo 30.64 (12.34), 12 mo 25.60 (12.94), 18 mo 20.63 (11.45)
	S-TAU: baseline 32.84 (7.15), 6 mo 24.53 (10.59), 12 mo 25.49 (10.56),
	18 mo 21.39 (10.43)
	Group differences at 18 months 3.43 (95% CI –3.72, 10.57)
	Change in Personality assessment-borderline (PAI-BOR), mean (SD):
	Intervention: baseline 50.52 (9.45), 6 mo 46.07 (12.26), 12 mo 40.13
	(12.36), 18 mo 41.18 (15.6)
	Comparison: baseline 51.45 (7.74), 6 mo 47.43 (11.7), 12 mo 48.38
	(40.13), 18 mo 41.78 (11.65)
	Barrantin
	Depression Change in Real democracies inventors (RRI) mass (CR)
	Change in Beck depression inventory (BDI), mean (SD):
	MBT-DH: baseline 31.51 (9.67), 6 mo 30.33 (14.37), 12 mo 25.47 (13.95),
	18 mo 22.50 (16.57) S-TAU: baseline 31.86 (10.4), 6 mo 24.58 (11.74), 12 mo 25.31 (9.78), 18
	mo 21.11 (9.1)
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.
	Difficulties with relationships
	Change in Inventory of interpersonal problems (IIP-64), mean (SD):
	MBT-DH: baseline 3.16 (0.38), 6 mo 3.10 (0.51), 12 mo 2.88 (0.59), 18 mo
	2.78 (0.63)
	S-TAU: baseline 3.10 (0.38), 6 mo 2.88 (0.57), 12 mo 3.02 (0.42), 18 mo
	2.75 (0.57)
	interpersonal functioning.
Comments	
Philips 2018 [37], Sweden, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Stockholm Centre for Dependency Disorders
Recruitment	Outpatient addiction treatment services throughout Stockholm County,
	through case-finding among the social service offices in the region and through advertising in newspapers
Donulation	
Population	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to
Population	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence
Population	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
Population	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a
Population  Exclusion criteria	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.
	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a
	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive
	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism
	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside
Exclusion criteria	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion)
Exclusion criteria  Follow up	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.
Exclusion criteria	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT
Exclusion criteria  Follow up	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24)
Exclusion criteria  Follow up	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24) Drop-outs (n)
Exclusion criteria  Follow up	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24) Drop-outs (n) Lost to follow up (11)
Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24) Drop-outs (n) Lost to follow up (11) Analyzed at EOT (13)
Exclusion criteria  Follow up	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24) Drop-outs (n) Lost to follow up (11) Analyzed at EOT (13) Treatment: 18 months TAU
Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24) Drop-outs (n) Lost to follow up (11) Analyzed at EOT (13)  Treatment: 18 months TAU Participants (22)
Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24) Drop-outs (n) Lost to follow up (11) Analyzed at EOT (13) Treatment: 18 months TAU Participants (22) Drop-outs (n)
Exclusion criteria  Follow up Intervention	Adults (80.4 % female), mean age 36.7 (SD 9.6), in the range from 20 to 54 years, fulfilling diagnostic criteria for BPD and substance dependence in accordance with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), and currently undergoing treatment at a substance dependence treatment clinic.  Schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder type I, cognitive impairment (including mild cognitive impairment: IQ <85), autism spectrum disorders, psychopathy, participation in psychotherapy outside of the study (ongoing or terminated less than 90 days before inclusion) and not being able to communicate in the Swedish language without an interpreter.  Treatment: 18 months MBT Participants (24) Drop-outs (n) Lost to follow up (11) Analyzed at EOT (13)  Treatment: 18 months TAU Participants (22)

	_
Outcomes	Suicide attempts
	Number of SA during treatment:
	MBT: 0/24
	TAU: 4/22
	Borderline symptom severity
	The BPD Severity Index-IV (BPDSI-IV), mean (SD):
	MBT: baseline 24.6 (10.4), EOT 17.0 (9.1)
	TAU: baseline 25.8 (6.6), EOT 20.7 (9.1)
	Cohen's d 0.41 MBT better
	Self-harm
	Self-Harm Inventory (DSHI-9), mean (SD):
	MBT: baseline 1.8 (3.6), EOT 2.3 (5.5)
	TAU: baseline 3.2 (4.4), EOT 2.2 (4.8)
	MD (95 % KI) 0.10 (-4.02 - 4.22)*
	*) Calculation in RevMan 5.4.1 with Random Effects model.
	Difficulties with relationships
	Inventory of Interpersonal Problems (IIP), mean (SD):
	MBT: baseline 2.0 (0.62), EOT 1.5 (0.54)
	TAU: baseline 2.2 (0.60), EOT 1.7 (0.56)
	Cohen's d 0.36
Comments	Completer and ITT analyses gave equivalent results and therefore only
	the results from completer analyses are described.
Jorgensen 2013 [38] and Jorgensen 2	2014 [39], Denmark, RCT
Risk of bias	Som concerns in both studies
Setting	Out-patient treatment. Clinic for Personality Disorders specializing in the
	assessment and psychotherapeutic treatment of BPD.
Recruitment	Referrals from psychiatric wards, out-patient clinics, community
Paradatan	psychiatric units and psychiatrists in private practice.
Population	Adults (>21 years) with BPD and a global assessment of functioning (GAF) score above 34. Mean age (SD) at baseline 30 (7) years. 95 % Female.
Exclusion criteria	Patients who met the diagnostic criteria for antisocial or paranoid
	PD were excluded as were patients with severe substance abuse
	requiring specialist treatment.
Follow up	18 months after EOT [39]
Intervention	24 months of MBT
	Participants (58)
	Drop-outs from treatment (16)
	Analyzed at EOT (38)
	Analyzed at at follow-up (38)
Comparison	24 months of Supportive group therapy (SGT)
	Participants (27)
	Drop-outs from treatment (6)
	Analyzed at EOT (17)
Outcome	Analyzed at follow-up (16)
Outcomes	Borderline symptom severity Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV personality disorders,
	borderline personality disorder (SCID-BPD), mean criteria (SD):
	MBT: Baseline 6.7 (1.2), EOT 2.8 (2.5), follow-up 2.2 (2.4)
	SGT: Baseline 6.9 (1.3), EOT 3.6 (2.1), follow-up 2.5 (2.7)
	Depression
	Depression  Rock Depression Inventory (RDI) mean score (SD):
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), mean score (SD):  MBT: Baseline 31.5 (10.7), EOT 18.8 (11.5), follow-up 17.5 (13.5)
	SGT: Baseline 37.5 (10.7), EOT 18.6 (11.3), follow-up 17.5 (15.5)
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.

	## Anxiety    Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), mean score (SD):   MBT: Baseline 18.6 (9.0), EOT 13.5 (10.7), follow-up 11.2 (10.6)   SGT: Baseline 23.7 (11.2), EOT 15.6 (10.1), follow-up 17.3 (12.8)   Spielberg State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; Trait (STAI-T), mean score (SD):   MBT: Baseline 60.7 (8.6), EOT 49.3 (11.6), follow-up 49.0 (12.7)   SGT: Baseline 64.9 (5.3), EOT 51.6 (16.8), follow-up 54.5 (11.0)   Spielberg State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; State (STAI-S), mean score (SD):   MBT: Baseline 57.2 (11.0), EOT 47.3 (16.0), follow-up 47.6 (15.6)   SGT: Baseline 63.5 (8.9), EOT 50.3 (17.6), follow-up 53.8 (15.4)    Psychosocial function   Social Adjustment Scale, self-rating (SAS-SR), mean score (SD):   MBT: Baseline 2.6 (0.4), EOT 2.2 (0.5), follow-up 2.1 (0.5)   SGT: Baseline 2.8 (0.6), EOT 2.1 (0.6), follow-up 2.2 (0.8)   Global assessment of function (GAF-F), mean score (SD):   MBT: Baseline 47.4 (7.3), EOT 57.6 (11.2), follow-up 60.5 (13.4) n =40   SGT: Baseline 45.0 (8.6), EOT 54.2 (13.5) n =17, follow-up 53.9 (13.1) n =16
	GAF score above 60 is used as a cut-off for mild but persistent symptoms and some non-severe difficulties in social, occupational or educational functioning.
	Difficulties with relationships Inventory of Interpersonal Problems (IIP), mean scores (SD): MBT: Baseline 1.7 (0.6), EOT 1.2 (0.6), follow-up 1.2 (0.8) SGT: Baseline 1.9 (0.6), EOT 1.3 (0.8), follow-up 1.4 (0.9)
	Results from per-protocol analyses not extracted due to a high risk of bias.
Comments	
Robinson 2016 [40], UK, RCT	I
Risk of bias	
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Participants recruited from clinical centres by referral from doctors working in the outpatient services of each centre. Referrals received by trial manager, who contacted the potential participant and provided the participant information sheet.
Population	Adults with a DSM-IV diagnosis of an eating disorder who fulfilled either DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder or had borderline personality disorder symptoms. Impulsivity in at least 2 areas that are potentially self-damaging. Recurrent suicidal behaviour or self-mutilating behaviour. Mean (SD) age 31 (10) years. 93 % female. 76 % had BPD. Anorexia 5.9%, bulimia 63.2%, binge eating disorder 2.9%, eating disorder not otherwise specified 27.9%.
Exclusion criteria	Current psychosis. Current inpatient or day-patient. Currently in individual or group psychological therapy. Received MBT less than 6 months prior to randomization. Organic brain disease leading to significant cognitive impairment. BMI less than 15 kg/m2.
Follow up	18 months/36 months (6 months or 2 years after EOT)
Intervention	12-month Mentalisation-based treatment for eating disorders (MBT-ED) Participants (34) Drop-outs of treatment (12) Data contribution at EOT (12) Data contribution at 36 month follow up (10)
Comparison	12-month Specialist supportive clinical management for eating disorders (SSCM-ED) Participants (34) Drop-outs of treatment (9)

	Data contribution at EOT (11)
	Data contribution at 201 (11)  Data contribution at 36 month follow up (9)
Outcomes	Borderline symptom severity
outcomes	Zanarini Rating Scale for BPD, mean global score (SD):
	MBT: Baseline 16.12 (6.3), EOT 9.64 (7.41), 6 mo follow up 7.1 (6.19)
	SSCM: Baseline 16.74 (6.0), EOT 9.27 (7.39), 6 mo follow up 10 (7.97)
	Mean difference (SE) MBT-SSCM:
	EOT: -2.50 (-1.84), p = 0.18
	6 mo follow up: -2.59 (-2.49), p = 0.30
	Total score range 0 to 36
	Adverse events
	MBT: EOT 1/34
	SSCM: EOT 0/34
	Risk ratio calculated in RevMan 5.4.1, random effects model: 3.00, 95 %
	CI [0.13, 71.15]
	Depression/anxiety (DASS) and Psychosocial functioning
	(GAF) only available as effect sizes (Cohen's d) between MBT-ED and
	SSCM, not extracted.
Comments	
Adolescents with SH/SB (wit	hout BPD) receiving DBT
,	6 [42], Mehlum 2019 [43] Norway, RCT
Risk of bias (overall)	Low in all three studies
Setting	Child and adolescent psychiatric outpatient clinic
Recruitment	Consecutive referrals from child and adolescent psychiatric outpatient
Recruitment	clinics, general practitioners, child protection services, or school health
	services
Population	Self-harming adolescents with at least 1-2 BPD criteria and subthreshold-
1 opulation	level criteria. Mean no (SD) of BPD-criteria 4.0 (2.0). Mean age 16 years
	(SD 1,5) at baseline. 88 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Bipolar disorder (except bipolar II), schizophrenia, schizoaffective
	disorder, psychotic disorder not otherwise specified, intellectual
	disability, Asperger syndrome, not fluent in Norwegian.
Follow up	First follow up 12 months after EOT [42], second follow-up 36 months
	after EOT [43].
Intervention	19-week DBT-A (DBT-adolescents treatment)
	Participants (39)
	Drop-outs during treatment (10) (less than 50% of therapy sessions)
	Assessed at EOT (39)
	Drop-outs during first follow-up (1)
	Drop-outs during second follow-up (2)
Comparison	19-week EUC (enhanced usual care)
	Participants (38)
	Drop-outs during treatment (11) (less than 50% of therapy sessions)
	Assessed at EOT (38)
	Drop-outs during first follow-up (1)
	Drop-outs during second follow-up (4)
Outcomes	Borderline symptom severity
	Borderline Symptoms List (BSL), mean scores (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 38.47 (19.32), EOT 21.34 (14.38), follow up 24.45 (19.17),
	2 <sup>nd</sup> follow up 26.48 (21.80)
	EUC: Baseline 40.18 (21.66), EOT 34.75 (22.15), follow up 23.09 (20.91),
	2 <sup>nd</sup> follow up 28.81 (20.62)
	Between-group slope changes per week, week 1-19: -0.5, p =0.05
	Self harm
	Number of self-harm episodes in the last 6 weeks at EOT, mean (SD):
	DBT: 1.2 (2.0)

	EUC: 3.3 (6.8)  Number of self-harm episodes, mean (95 %CI):  DBT: Baseline - EOT 9.0 (4.8–13.2), EOT-Follow-up 5.5 (1.7–9.1)  EUC: Baseline - EOT 22.5 (11.4 to 33.5), EOT-Follow-up 14.8 (7.3 to 22.3)  The between-group difference was statistically significant at both time intervals (p < .05)  Emergency department visits due to self-harm, n:  DBT: Baseline - EOT 3/39 (7.7%), EOT-Follow-up 8/36 (22,1 %)  EUC: Baseline - EOT 8/36 (18.4 %), EOT-Follow-up 4/33 (12.1%)  Ns at both time intervals  Suicidal ideation  Suicidal ideation Questionnaire- Junior Version (SIQ-JR), mean scores (SD):  DBT: Baseline 36.91 (20.82), EOT 18.30 (11.11), follow up 20.45 (19.15), 2nd follow up 19.64 (18.54)  EUC: Baseline 36.91 (26.73), EOT 32.56 (23.99), follow up 22.05 (21.86), 2nd follow up 23.15 (18.12)  Between-group slope changes per week, week 1-19: -0.62, p =0.01 Clinical cut-off usually regarded as 31 (Reynolds Jr. Sch Psychol Rev. 1999;28:17-30)  Depression  Self-report Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ), mean scores (SD):  DBT: Baseline 14.92 (5.35), EOT 10.19 (5.04), follow up 9.88 (5.53), 2nd follow up 9.54 (5.32)  EUC: Baseline 15.11 (6.23), EOT 12.58 (6.62), follow up 9.19 (6.57), 2nd follow up 10.56 (6.28)  Between-group slope changes per week, week 1-19: -0.10, p =0.18  Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Scale (MADRS), mean scores (SD):  DBT: Baseline 19.03 (7.84), EOT 12.29 (7.52), follow up 15.09 (8.08), 2nd follow up 11.69 (7.22)  EUC: Baseline 17.50 (7.13), EOT 15.76 (8.14), follow up 15.73 (9.06), 2nd follow up 10.33 (7.03)  Between-group slope changes per week, week 1-19: -0.22, p =0.02  Psychosocial functioning Children's Global Assessment Scale (C-GAS), mean (SD:  DBT: Baseline 52.9 (7.3), EOT 65.88 (9.52), follow up 65.68 (11.81), 2nd follow up 64.97 (11.75)  EUC: Baseline 51.8 (5.9), EOT 65.89 (13.03), follow up 64.22 (14.13), 2nd follow up 64.97 (11.75)  EUC: Baseline 51.8 (5.9), EOT 65.89 (13.03), follow up 64.22 (14.13), 2nd
	Follow up 66.12 (11.19)
Comments	
Santamarina-Perez 2020 [44], Spain,	RCT
Risk of bias	Low
Setting	Community child and adolescent outpatient clinic
Recruitment	Outpatient and inpatient from Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychology Department of the Neuroscience Institute of the Hospital Clinic in Barcelona
Population	Adolescents (88.6 % girls) aged between 12 years 0 months and 17 years 11 months, mean age 15.3 (SD 1.4) with the presence of repetitive NSSI and/or SAs over the last 12 months and deemed to be at current high risk of suicide, as assessed by the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS), and with at least one parent or guardian willing to participate in family sessions.
Exclusion criteria	IQ below 70 on the Wechsler Intelligence Test, acute psychopathology requiring inpatient treatment at the time of recruitment, low-weight anorexia nervosa as determined, following criteria by the (DSM-IV-TR),

	and substance dependence (though consurrent substance abuse was not	
	and substance dependence (though concurrent substance abuse was not exclusionary)	
Follow up	16 weeks (EOT)	
Intervention	Treatment: 16-week DBT-A	
	Participants (18)	
	Drop-outs (n)	
	Lost to follow up (4) 18 in analyses	
Comparison	Treatment: 16 week TAU + group sessions (GS)	
	Participants (17)	
	Drop-outs (n) Lost to follow up (3) 17 in analyses	
Outcomes	NSSI, frequency in the last four weeks of treatment, Baseline-adjusted	
	means	
	DBT-A: 1.3 (0.7–1.9)	
	TAU+GS: 2.1 (1.5–2.7)	
	Treatment effect of -0.8 (95% CI -1.7 to -0.02), Cohen's d = 0.73 in favor of DBT	
	Suicide attempts	
	DBT-A: 0	
	TAU+GS: 0	
	Suisidal Idaation Quarticonaina (SIQ IB) Baseline adjusted magne	
	Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire (SIQ-JR), Baseline-adjusted means DBT-A: 35.6 (26.7–44.6)	
	TAU+GS: 40.1 (30.2–50)	
	No statistically significant differences between the two groups	
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), Baseline-adjusted means	
	DBT-A: 25.8 (18.1–33.3)	
	TAU+GS: 28.7 (20.4–37.3)	
	No statistically significant differences between the two groups	
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below 29.	
	Psychosocial functioning	
	Children's Global Assessment Scale (C-GAS), Baseline-adjusted means	
	DBT-A: 64.6 (61.0-68.2)	
	TAU+GS: 54.6 (51.1–58.1)	
	T	
	Treatment effect of 10 (95%CI 5.0–15) Cohen's d = 1.26 in favor of the DBT-A group.	
Comments	The duration of treatment was 16 weeks for both groups.	
Adults with SH/SB (without I		
No studies included		
Adolescents with SH/SB (wit	hout RPD) receiving MRT	
No studies included	HOWE DI DI TECEIVING IVIDI	
	ADD) we ask the MADT	
• •	Adults with SH/SB (without BPD) receiving MBT	
No studies included		
Adolescents with BED/BN re	ceiving DBT	
No studies included		
Mazzeo 2016 [45], USA, RCT		
Risk of bias	High	
Setting		
Recruitment		

Population	Females, age (mean = 15.42 (SD = 1.73)), who met criteria for LOC-Eating
	Disorder or BED in children and spoke English
Exclusion criteria	
Follow up	
Intervention	Treatment
	Participants (n)
	Drop-outs (n)
Comparison	Treatment
	Participants (n) Drop-outs (n)
Outcomes	Diop-outs (ii)
Comments	
Adults with BED/BN receivin	α DRT
	g DDI
Dastan 2020 [46], Iran, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	
Recruitment	Convenience sampling from outpatient nutrition clinics  Woman with RED agod 18 50, 58 % of the woman work agod 21, 40
Population  Exclusion criteria	Women with BED aged 18-50. 58 % of the women were aged 31-40.
Exclusion criteria	Any other eating disorders, pregnancy, substance use, severe physical illnesses, receiving any kind of psychotherapy, any dietary regime.
Follow up	20 weeks (EOT)
Intervention	20 week DBT-ST (group therapy)
	Participants (20)
	Drop-outs (0)
Comparison	No intervention
	Participants (20)
	Drop-outs (0)
Outcomes	Emotion regulation
	Emotional eating scale (EES)
	Subscale Anger/frustration, mean (SD): DBT baseline 31.10 (4.36), EOT: 25.85 (3.36)
	Control group baseline 32.80 (3.59), EOT: 32.60 (4.21)
	F (1,35) = 38.33, p < 0.001
	(7-3)
	Subscale Anxiety, mean (SD):
	DBT baseline 30.80 (3.36), EOT: 26.30 (3.31)
	Control group baseline 31.50 (2.60), EOT: 30.70 (3.72)
	F (1,35) = 21.60, p < 0.001
	Subscale Depression, mean (SD):
	DBT baseline 32.15 (5.12), EOT: 29.30 (5.01)
	Control group baseline 31.50 (5.46), EOT: 29.15 (3.63)
	F (1,35) = 0.05, p = 0.94
	The EES is a 25-item self-report scale that measures the intensity of the
	relationship between mood and eating. The items are scored on a 5-point
	Likert scale (1 to 5) with a higher score indicating stronger urge to eat
	following certain feelings.
	ED-Specific psychopathology
	Multidimensional body self-relations questionnaire-appearance scale (MBSRQ-AS)
	Subscale Appearance evaluation, mean (SD):
	DBT baseline 28.60 (2.94), EOT: 29.25 (2.17)
	Control group baseline 26.10 (4.88), EOT: 26.01 (3.26)
	F (1,34) = 5.78, p = 0.02
	Subscale Appearance orientation, mean (SD):
	DBT baseline 43.30 (4.94), EOT: 48.75 (6.72)

	Control group baseline 43.45 (6.06), EOT: 43.15 (5.69)
	F (1,34) = 50.88, p < 0.001
	Cubacula Quamuciaht massacration (CC)
	Subscale Overweight preoccupation, mean (SD):
	DBT baseline 17.40 (1.50), EOT: 13.95 (1.87) Control group baseline 17.65 (1.26), EOT: 17.95 (1.82)
	F (1,34) = 44.42, p < 0.001
	1 (1,3-7) - 1-1.1-2, β (3.001)
	Subscale Anger/frustration, mean (SD):
	DBT baseline 35.25 (3.12), EOT: 39.40 (2.92)
	Control group baseline 37.95 (5.32), EOT: 38.35 (3.73)
	F (1,34) = 10.16, p = 0.03
	The MBSRQ-AS consists of 34 items allocated to four subscales. The items
	are rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (definitely disagree) to
	5 (definitely agree). Higher scores indicate a more healthy body self-
Comments	relation for all subscales except for subscale Overweight preoccupation.
Safer 2001 [47], USA, RCT	1
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Newspaper advertisements and clinic referrals
Population	Women 18–65 years with at least one binge/purge episode per week
· opmanen	over the previous 3 months. BN (81%) and sub-clinical BN (19%). Mean
	(SD) age 34 (11) years.
Exclusion criteria	BMI <17.5, psychosis or severe depression with suicidal ideation, active
	drug/
	alcohol abuse, concurrent participation in psychotherapy, concurrent use
	of antidepressants or mood stabilizers.
Follow up	20 week (EOT)
Intervention	20-week DBT
	Participants (14) Drop-outs (2)
	Analysed at EOT 14
Comparison	20 week WL
	Participants (15)
	Drop-outs (1)
	Analysed at EOT 15
Outcomes	Binge eating episodes
	Number of participants with at least one binge day during the last 28
	days:
	DBT: Baseline 14/14, EOT 10/14
	WL: Baseline 15/15, EOT 15/15  Number of hings enjeades (assessed in the last 28 days), median:
	Number of binge episodes (assessed in the last 28 days), median: DBT: Baseline 27.0, EOT 1.5
	WL: Baseline 22.0, EOT 20.0
	No SD data (or similar) available.
	F (1,26) = 30.87, p <0.001
	Number of purge episodes (assessed in the last 28 days), median:
	DBT: Baseline 40.0, EOT 1.0
	WL: Baseline 28.0, EOT 28.0
	No SD data (or similar) available.
	F (1,26) = 11.29, p = 0.002
	5D and if a mark and balance
	ED-specific psychopathology  Recorders Salf Esteem Scale (RSE) mean scare (SD):
	Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE) mean score (SD): DBT Baseline, EOT: 23.5 (4.5), 26.4 (5.0)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 25.6 (5.9), 25.4 (6.4)
	F(1,26) = 2.78, p = 0.11

	Γ-
	Depression (CD)
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) mean score (SD):
	DBT Baseline, EOT: 22.9 (8.9), 13.4 (11.6)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 19.2 (11.9), 17.4 (11.8)
	F (1,25) = 4.83, p < 0.04
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.
	Emotion regulation
	Emotional Eating Scale (EES) mean scores (SD):
	Anger
	DBT Baseline, EOT: 2.7 (0.8), 1.8 (0.8)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 2.7 (0.6), 2.6 (0.9)
	F (1,26) = 8.96, p = 0.006
	Anxiety
	DBT Baseline, EOT: 2.1 (0.8), 1.3 (0.9)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 2.1 (0.9), 2.0 (0.8)
	F (1,26) = 8.89, p = 0.006
	Depression
	DBT Baseline, EOT: 2.9 (0.7), 2.1 (1.0)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 2.7 (0.9), 2.6 (0.7)
	F (1,26) = 8.14, p = 0.008
	Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS) mean scores (SD):
	Positive
	DBT Baseline, EOT: 24.8 (8.3), 27.6 (8.2)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 26.1 (6.5), 28.3 (7.9)
	F (1,25) = 0.01, p = 0.94
	Negative
	DBT Baseline, EOT: 31.5 (9.9), 23.4 (8.4)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 28.6 (6.9), 30.0 (9.7)
	F (1,25) = 6.30, p < 0.02
	Negative Mood Regulation Scale (NMR) mean scores (SD):
	DBT Baseline, EOT: 81.3 (15.1), 96.1 (24.0)
	WL Baseline, EOT: 98.1 (16.8), 97.7 (15.0)
	F (1,26) = 5.94, p < 0.03
Comments	
Hill 2011 [48], USA, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
	Some concerns
Setting	
Recruitment	
Population	Women, average age 22.0 years, with binge/purge episodes at least one
	time per week an average of at least one binge eating and one vomit
	episode per week over the previous 3 months, and used vomiting as their
	primary compensatory behavior
Exclusion criteria	Age less than18 years, current diagnosis of anorexia nervosa (AN) or BED,
	concurrent psychotherapy focused on eating issues, current suicidal
	ideation, substance dependence at the level deemed to interfere with
	treatment, cognitive impairment at the level deemed to interfere with
	treatment, and past or present psychosis. Men were excluded from the
	study in light of its preliminary nature and the much higher prevalence of
	BN in women
Follow up	12 weeks (EOT)
Intervention	Treatment: 12 week appetite focused DBT (DBT-AF)
	Participants (18)
	Drop-outs (2)
Comparison	Drop-outs (2) Treatment: Waitlist (WL)
Comparison	
Comparison	Treatment: Waitlist (WL)
Comparison Outcomes	Treatment: Waitlist (WL) Participants (14)

	Objective binge episodes/28 days, Mdn (range) DBT-AF: pre 15.50 (5–50), post 4.00 (0–50) WL: pre 18.00 (9–36), post 9.5 (5–40) p<0.05 Subjective binge episodes/28 days, Mdn (range)
	DBT-AF: pre 4.5 (0–43), post 0 (0–43) WL: pre 0 (0–60), post 0 (0–60) P=0.3
	Vomit episodes/28 days, Mdn (range) DBT-AF: pre 15.50 (4–50), post 2.50 (0–50) WL: pre 23.5 (6–38), post 12.5 (1–60) P<0.05
	ED-specific psychopathology Eating disorder examination—questionnaire, global score mean (SD) DBT-AF: pre 3.61 (1.16), post 2.48 (1.39) WL: pre 4.24 (.99), post 3.98 (1.09) P<0.05
	Emotion regulation Emotional eating scale, global score mean (SD) DBT-AF: pre 3.27 (0.67), post 2.91 (0.89) WL: pre 3.15 (0.48), post 3.07 (0.49)
	P=0.25
	Negative mood regulation scale mean (SD)
	DBT-AF: pre 100.33 (17.72,) post 106.78 (15.98) WL: pre 103.32 (12.87), post 102.76 (7.94)
	P=0.13
	Positive and negative affect scale mean (SD)
	Positive affect
	DBT-AF: pre 28.33 (9.13), post 32.89 (7.01) WL: pre 29.71 (8.32), post 28.64 (5.21)
	P<0.05
	Negative affect
	DBT-AF: pre 26.57 (7.91), post 23.78 (7.25)
	WL: pre 27.50 (6.97), post 27.79 (7.62) P=0.11
	Depression  Rock depression inventory ILM (SD) mean (SD)
	Beck depression inventory-II M (SD) mean (SD)  DBT-AF: pre 16.23 (10.40), post 9.23 (8.43)
	WL: pre 18.00 (6.32), post 16.79 (7.92)
0	P<0.05
Comments	After the 6-week assessment, eight participants from the waitlist condition agreed to start treatment. Two of these eight dropped out
	during their treatment.
Masson 2013 [49], Canada, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Home, self-help.
Recruitment Population	Local media  Adults with RED, Mean (SD) age 43 (11) years, Females 88 %
Exclusion criteria	Adults with BED. Mean (SD) age 43 (11) years. Females 88 %.  Concurrent psychotherapy for binge eating, active psychosis; BMI < 17.5,
	use of compensatory behaviour at least once a week over the past three
	months, unstable dose of psychotropic medication over the last three
Following	months.
Follow up	6 months

land a management of the second of the secon	42 week DDT wided self hely (DED self hely ground sinking all 20 min
Intervention	13-week DBT-guided self-help (BED self-help manual, six biweekly 20-min
	support phone calls)
	Participants (30)
Commenter	Drop-outs (9)
Comparison	WL Participants (20)
	Participants (30)
	Drop-outs (3)
Outcomes	Binge eating episodes
	Objective binge-episode frequency (assessed in the last month), mean
	(SD):
	DBT: Baseline 18.67 (13.17), EOT 5.97 (9.42), follow-up 9.53 (11.89) WL: Baseline 19.60 (11.91), EOT 14.37 (11.86), follow-up no data
	WL. Baseline 19.00 (11.91), EOT 14.57 (11.80), Tollow-up no data
	ED enocific neuchanathology
	ED-specific psychopathology Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) total score
	(assessed in the last month), mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 4.68 (0.71), EOT 3.65 (1.03), follow-up 3.42 (1.12)
	WL: Baseline 4.60 (0.85), EOT 4.36 (1.00), follow-up -
	Emotion regulation
	Emotion regulation Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS), mean scores (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 100.02 (26.38), EOT 84.39 (26.81), follow-up 82.48 (27.67)
Community	WL: Baseline 100.96 (23.36), EOT 104.03 (24.64), follow-up no data
Comments	
Rahmani 2018 [50], Iran, RCT	,
Risk of bias	Low
Setting	Specialized Nutrition Clinic
Recruitment	Referrals
Population	Adults with BED. Mean (SD) age 30 (8) years. 100 % female.
Exclusion criteria	Non-psychological diagnosis, compensative or purging behaviours,
	severe physical illnesses as the main reason of obesity, pregnancy or
	lactation, major depression disorder, history of drug abuse, being absent
	for more than 3 sessions, and history of receiving psychological
	intervention during the past month.
Follow up	At EOT
Intervention	10-week DBT (2 session/week)
	Participants (30)
	Drop-outs (3)
Comparison	WL
	Participants (30)
	Drop-outs (3)
Outcomes	Emotion regulation
	Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS), mean scores (SD):
	DBT: Baseline 148.23 (15.66), EOT 64.50 (9.71), mean change -79.30
	1 (2 - 2 - 2 )
	(27.83)
	(27.83) WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43
	, ,
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01 36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01 36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01  36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.  ED-specific psychopathology Binge eating scale (BES), mean score (SD):
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01  36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.  ED-specific psychopathology
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01  36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.  ED-specific psychopathology Binge eating scale (BES), mean score (SD):
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01  36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.  ED-specific psychopathology Binge eating scale (BES), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 23.80 (4.80), EOT 16.46 (2.19), mean change -6.73 (3.22)
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01  36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.  ED-specific psychopathology Binge eating scale (BES), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 23.80 (4.80), EOT 16.46 (2.19), mean change -6.73 (3.22) WL: Baseline 22.53 (5.04), EOT 20.03 (2.68), mean change -1.36 (1.90), p
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01  36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.  ED-specific psychopathology Binge eating scale (BES), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 23.80 (4.80), EOT 16.46 (2.19), mean change -6.73 (3.22)  WL: Baseline 22.53 (5.04), EOT 20.03 (2.68), mean change -1.36 (1.90), p = 0.00
	WL: Baseline 144.03 (25.64), EOT 159.11 (4.61), mean change –15.43 (24.32), p = 0.01  36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.  ED-specific psychopathology Binge eating scale (BES), mean score (SD):  DBT: Baseline 23.80 (4.80), EOT 16.46 (2.19), mean change -6.73 (3.22)  WL: Baseline 22.53 (5.04), EOT 20.03 (2.68), mean change -1.36 (1.90), p = 0.00  Overall score ranges from 0 to 46. 17 or less indicates a lack of binge

Telch 2001 [51], USA, RCT	
Risk of bias	Some concerns
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Newspaper advertisements
Population	Females 18-65 years with BED, mean (SD) age 50 (6) years.
Exclusion criteria	Current involvement in psychotherapy, weight loss treatment, use of
	psychotropic
	Medications, current substance abuse or dependence, current suicidality
	or psychosis, pregnancy.
Follow up	6 months after EOT (Treatment group only)
Intervention	20-week DBT-ST
	Participants (22)
	Drop-outs (4)
	Lost to follow up (0)
Comparison	20-week WL
	Participants (22)
	Drop-outs (6)
Outcomes	Binge eating episodes
	Mean (SD) number of binge days during the last 28 days:
	DBT-ST: 10.5 (9.0), EOT 0 (0)
	WL: 14.0 (5.0), EOT 8.5 (10)
	F (1,31) = 41.3, p < 0.0001
	Mean (SD) number of binge episodes during the last 28 days:
	DBT-ST: 11.5 (10.8), EOT 0 (0)
	WL: 14.5 (7.5), EOT 10 (14)
	F (1,31) = 39.0, p < 0.0001
	ED-specific psychopathology
	Eating Disorders Examination (EDE) mean score (SD):
	No Global score available
	Weight concerns
	DBT-ST: Baseline 3.4 (1.1), EOT 2.2 (0.9)
	WL: Baseline 3.6 (0.6), EOT 3.1 (1.0)
	F (1,31) = 5.9, p = 0.020
	Shape Concerns
	DBT-ST: Baseline 3.7 (0.7), EOT 2.3 (0.9)
	WL: Baseline 4.0 (0.8), EOT 3.1 (1.0)
	F (1,31) = 4.9, p = 0.030
	Eating Concerns
	DBT-ST: Baseline 1.6 (1.1), EOT 0.4 (0.4)
	WL: Baseline 1.8 (1.0), EOT 1.4 (0.9)
	F (1,31) = 20.9, p < 0.0001
	Restraint
	DBT-ST: Baseline 1.6 (1.0), EOT 1.4 (1.0)
	WL: Baseline 1.9 (1.1), EOT 1.8 (1.3)
	F (1,31) = 1.0, p = 0.33
	Binge eating scale (BES), mean score (SD):
	DBT-ST: Baseline 28.8 (6.1), EOT 15.7 (9.4)
	WL: Baseline 31.8 (6.0), EOT 28.2 (8.3)
	F (1,29) = 14.0, p = 0.001
	Overall score ranges from 0 to 46. 17 or less indicates a lack of binge
	eating. 18-26 indicates medium binge eating. 27 or higher indicates
	severe binge eating
	Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE) mean score (SD):
	DBT-ST: Baseline 26.0 (6.8), EOT 29.4 (6.1)
	WL: Baseline 28.9 (5.0), EOT 29.2 (4.5)
	F (1,30) = 3.5, p = 0.07
	Emotion regulation
	Emotional Eating Scale (EES) mean scores (SD):

	Anger
	DBT-ST: Baseline 2.5 (0.8), EOT 1.8 (1.0)
	WL: Baseline 2.8 (0.6), EOT 2.6 (0.9)
	F(1,30) = 4.2, p = 0.05
	Anxiety
	DBT-ST: Baseline 2.3 (0.9), EOT 1.5 (0.9)
	WL: Baseline 2.7 (0.6), EOT 2.4 (1.0)
	F(1,30) = 3.9, p = 0.06
	Depression
	DBT-ST: Baseline 3.0 (0.7), EOT 2.4 (1.0)
	WL: Baseline 3.3 (0.7), EOT 3.0 (0.8) F (1,30) = 2.8, p = 0.10
	Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS) mean scores (SD):
	Positive
	DBT-ST: Baseline 25.8 (7.5), EOT 30.0 (10.8)
	WL: Baseline 31.9 (8.2), EOT 31.2 (7.8)
	F(1,30) = 2.7, p = 0.11
	Negative
	DBT-ST: Baseline 23.6 (8.8), EOT 17.9 (6.7)
	WL: Baseline 22.8 (7.3), EOT 20.6 (8.7)
	F (1,30) = 2.1, p = 0.16
	Negative Mood Regulation Scale (NMR) mean scores (SD):
	DBT-ST: Baseline 99.8 (15.2), EOT 110.1 (16.8)
	WL: Baseline 101.4 (15.7), EOT 104.1 (17.0)
	F (1,30) = 3.0, p = 0.09
	Depresssion
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) mean scores (SD):
	DBT-ST: Baseline 12.8 (7.4), EOT 9.9 (10.0)
	WL: Baseline 13.8 (9.1), EOT 12.8 (8.3)
	F(1,27) = 0.57, p = 0.46
	Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms
	Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below
	29.
Comments	
Klein 2013 [52], USA, RCT	
Setting	Some concerns
Recruitment	Clinical referrals
Population	Women with full- or sub-threshold variants of either binge eating
	disorder (69 %) or bulimia nervosa (31 %). Mean age about 35 years.
Exclusion criteria	BPD and a body mass index <18.5
Follow up	EOT
Intervention	Treatment: 16-week group-based DBT
	Participants (22)
	Drop-outs (14)
Comparison	Treatment: 16-week diary card self-monitoring with brief individual
	sessions
	Participants (14)
Outcomes	Drop-outs (2)
Outcomes	Binge Eating (weekly binge eating frequency) mean (SD)
	Intervention: pre 1.96 (1.26), last week 0, d=3.11 Comparison: pre 3.54 (2.25), last week 1.64 (1.62), d=1.32
	Companson, pre 5.54 (2.25), last week 1.04 (1.62), 0=1.32
	Purging (weekly frequency of self-induced vomiting) mean (SD)
	Intervention: pre 3.83, (2.84), last week 1.67, (2.89)
	Comparison: pre 1 (0) last week 0
	Companison, pic I (0) last week 0
Comments	
Comments Chan 2017 [52] LISA PCT	
Comments Chen 2017 [53], USA, RCT Risk of bias	Some concerns

Setting	Hospital outpatient adult eating disorders program
Recruitment	,
Population	Adult women. Mean (SD) age 38 (13) years. 61 % with binge-eating disorder (BED) and 39 % with bulimia nervosa (BN).
Exclusion criteria	Current bipolar disorder or psychosis, use of appetite suppressants, past bariatric surgery,
	current eating-disorder treatment, pregnancy.
Follow up	12 months after EOT
Intervention	6 months DBT
	Participants (36) Drop-outs (8)
	Analysed at EOT (36)
	Analysed at follow-up (36)
Comparison	6 months individual and additional group cognitive behavior therapy
	(CBT+)
	Participants (31)
	Drop-outs (9)
	Analysed at EOT (31)
Outcomes	Analysed at follow-up (31)  Binge eating episodes
Cuttomes	Objective binge-day frequency (assessed in the last month), mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline (after GSH): 11.86 (7.40), EOT 4.31 (7.00), follow-up 4.61
	(7.21)
	CBT+: Baseline (after GSH) 10.84 (6.46), EOT 4.55 (7.06), follow-up 6.18
	(6.59)
	Objective binge-episode frequency (assessed in the last month), mean
	(SD):
	DBT: Baseline (after GSH) 15.31 (11.71), EOT 6.53 (16.42), follow-up 6.00 (12.09)
	CBT+: Baseline (after GSH) 14.81 (12.24), EOT 5.00 (8.09), follow-up 6.18
	(6.59)
	For mean objective binge-eating day or episode frequency to be within the non-clinical range for BED or BN: <8 mean objective binges per month (DSM-IV-TR) (APA, 2000).
	Vomiting episode frequency (assessed in the last month), mean (SD): DBT: Baseline (after GSH) 8.44 (18.98), EOT 2.44 (9.73), follow-up 6.46
	(15.48) CBT+: Baseline (after GSH) 9.87 (21.59), EOT 7.90 (25.84), follow-up 4.36
	(8.82)
	For mean vomiting frequency to be within the non-clinical range for BN (DSM-IV-TR) (APA, 2000) mean < 8 vomiting episodes/month.
	ED-specific psychopathology
	Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) total score (assessed in the last month), mean (SD):
	DBT: Baseline (after GSH) 2.94 (1.18), EOT 1.77 (1.08), follow-up 2.15
	(0.92)
	CBT+: Baseline (after GSH) 2.62 (1.20), EOT 1.86 (1.04), follow-up 2.36 (1.46)
	EDE range 0-6, higher numbers equal a more disordered eating behaviour. For total Eating Disorders Examination (EDE) scores to be within the non-clinical range mean < 2.45. (2 S.D. cut-off for a healthy sample of N = 337, Fairburn & Wilson 1993).
	Psychosocial functioning Global assessment of functioning (assessed in the last month), mean (SD):

	DBT: Baseline (after GSH) 56.00 (9.46), EOT 60.53 (8.68), 6 months
	follow-up: 62.16 (8.33), 12 months follow-up: NO DATA
	CBT+: Baseline (after GSH) 58.55 (11.34), EOT 64.94 (11.05), 6 months
	follow-up: 65.85 (12.46), 12 months follow-up: NO DATA
	Higher score equals better function. Global assessment of functioning
	scores of 51–60 refer to moderate impairment; 61–70, mild impairment;
	and 71–80, slight impairment.
Comments	unu 71–80, siigiit iinpairment.
Safer 2010 [54], USA, RCT	1.
Risk of bias	Low
Setting	Outpatient
Recruitment	Newspaper advertisements, flyers, and clinic referrals for "treatment for binge eating."
Population	
Exclusion criteria	Adults with BED. Mean (SD) age 52 (10.6) years. 85 % females.  BMI less than 17.5 kg/m2, concurrent psychotherapy treatment, unstable
Exclusion criteria	dosage of psychotropic medications over the 3 months prior to initial
	assessment, regular use of purging or other compensatory behaviors
	over the past 6 months, psychosis, current alcohol/drug abuse or
	dependence, severe depression with recent suicidality, current use of
	weight altering medications, severe medical condition affecting weight or
	appetite,
	current pregnancy or breast feeding, imminently planning or undergoing
	gastric bypass
	surgery.
Follow up	12 months after EOT
Intervention	21-week Dialectical Behavior Therapy Skills training for Binge Eating
	Disorder (DBT-BED skills training)
	Participants (50)
	Drop-outs from treatment (2)
	Assessed at EOT (50)
	Lost to follow-up (1)
	Assessed at follow up (49)
Comparison	21-week Active comparison group therapy (ACGT)
	Participants (51)
	Drop-outs from treatment (17)
	Assessed at EOT (48)
	Lost to follow-up (9)
	Assessed at follow up (39)
Outcomes	Binge eating episodes
	Number of participants with at least one binge day during the last month:
	DBT: Baseline no data, EOT 18/50, follow-up 18/50
	ACGT: Baseline no data, EOT 34/51, follow-up 25/51
	Objective binge-day frequency (assessed in the last 28 days), mean:
	DBT: Baseline 16.0, EOT 1.5, follow-up 2.9
	ACGT: Baseline 16.0, EOT 4.5, follow-up 3.1
	P= 0.001 at EOT. At follow up N.S between group. Data from figure 3. At
	follow-up, SD estimated to same value as mean score in control group.
	,,,
	ED-specific psychopathology
	Eating Disorders Examination (EDE) mean score (SD):
	Restraint
	DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 1.73 (1.12) 1.29 (1.04) 1.10 (1.09)
	ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.00 (1.28) 1.91 (1.23) 1.85 (1.42)
	Weight concerns
	DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 3.70 (1.02) 2.53 (1.18) 2.27 (1.24)
	ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 3.76 (1.05) 3.00 (1.25) 2.78 (1.31)
	Shape Concerns
	DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 3.91 (1.22) 2.62 (1.15) 2.50 (1.39)

ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 3.97 (0.91) 3.03 (1.35) 2.66 (1.30) *Eating Concerns* 

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.25 (1.43) 0.54 (0.71) 0.88 (1.38) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.09 (1.32) 1.14 (1.39) 0.66 (0.95)

## Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE) mean score (SD):

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 25.72 (6.62) 30.28 (6.78) 29.42 (7.66) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 27.31 (5.59) 29.82 (5.80) 30.59 (6.15)

#### Depresssion

## Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) mean score (SD):

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 17.94 (9.37) 9.10 (9.21) 10.36 (9.97) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 15.27 (6.83) 10.84 (6.86) 10.04 (7.84) Cutoff score 10, signifying an absence of clinically significant symptoms Reliable change 7 points. Cut-off for severe depression, BDI score below

#### **Emotion regulation**

## Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS), mean scores (SD):

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 98.24 (20.80) 75.58 (23.91) 77.17 (29.22) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 94.08 (19.05) 75.94 (21.04) 71.7 (22.35) 36 questions. Responses from 1 to 5 on a Likert scale. Higher scores show more difficulties in emotion regulation.

## Emotional Eating Scale (EES) mean scores (SD):

Anger

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.57 (0.95) 1.83 (0.98) 1.93 (0.97) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.61 (0.73) 2.06 (1.05) 1.90 (0.96) Anxiety

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.21 (0.86) 1.51 (0.87) 1.67 (0.90) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.36 (0.78) 1.81 (0.89) 1.67 (0.89) Depression

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.73 (0.90) 2.06 (0.99) 2.12 (0.92) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 2.98 (0.64) 2.43 (0.80) 2.18 (0.89) Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS) mean scores (SD):

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 25.04 (8.35) 30.24 (10.34) 30.00 (10.36) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 27.16 (6.91) 30.41 (6.97) 30.43 (8.48) *Negative* 

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 26.08 (9.45) 21.26 (8.01) 22.82 (10.28) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 24.82 (7.94) 20.45 (6.58) 19.51 (7.72) **Negative Mood Regulation Scale (NMR) mean scores (SD):** DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 98.86 (19.24) 99.54 (16.67) 108.40 (19.72)

DBT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 98.86 (19.24) 99.54 (16.67) 108.40 (19.72) ACGT Baseline, EOT, follow-up: 100.31 (16.26) 99.71 (13.35) 110.12 (13.61)

## Comments

# Adolescents with BED/BN receiving MBT

No studies included

## Adults with BED/BN receiving MBT

No studies included

## Referenser

- 1. Linehan MM, Schmidt H, 3rd, Dimeff LA, Craft JC, Kanter J, Comtois KA. Dialectical behavior therapy for patients with borderline personality disorder and drug-dependence. The American journal on addictions. 1999;8(4):279-92.
- 2. Bianchini V, Cofini V, Curto M, Lagrotteria B, Manzi A, Navari S, et al. Dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT) for forensic psychiatric patients: An Italian pilot study. Criminal behaviour and mental health: CBMH. 2019;29(2):122-30. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbm.2102.
- 3. Carter GL, Willcox CH, Lewin TJ, Conrad AM, Bendit N. Hunter DBT project: randomized controlled trial of dialectical behaviour therapy in women with borderline personality disorder. The Australian and New Zealand journal of psychiatry. 2010;44(2):162-73. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/00048670903393621.
- 4. Feigenbaum JD, Fonagy P, Pilling S, Jones A, Wildgoose A, Bebbington PE. A real-world study of the effectiveness of DBT in the UK National Health Service. The British journal of clinical psychology. 2012;51(2):121-41. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.2011.02017.x">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.2011.02017.x</a>.
- 5. Koons CR, Robins CJ, Lindsey Tweed J, Lynch TR, Gonzalez AM, Morse JQ, et al. Efficacy of dialectical behavior therapy in women veterans with borderline personality disorder. Behavior Therapy. 2001;32(2):371-90. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894(01)80009-5">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894(01)80009-5</a>.
- 6. Kramer U, Pascual-Leone A, Berthoud L, de Roten Y, Marquet P, Kolly S, et al. Assertive Anger Mediates Effects of Dialectical Behaviour-informed Skills Training for Borderline Personality Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Clinical psychology & psychotherapy. 2016;23(3):189-202. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpp.1956.
- 7. Linehan MM, Armstrong HE, Suarez A, Allmon D, Heard HL. Cognitive-behavioral treatment of chronically parasuicidal borderline patients. Archives of general psychiatry. 1991;48(12):1060-4.
- 8. Linehan MM, Tutek DA, Heard HL, Armstrong HE. Interpersonal outcome of cognitive behavioral treatment for chronically suicidal borderline patients. The American journal of psychiatry. 1994;151(12):1771-6.
- 9. McMain SF, Links PS, Gnam WH, Guimond T, Cardish RJ, Korman L, et al. A randomized trial of dialectical behavior therapy versus general psychiatric management for borderline personality disorder. The American journal of psychiatry. 2009;166(12):1365-74. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09010039">https://dx.doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09010039</a>.

- 10. McMain SF, Guimond T, Streiner DL, Cardish RJ, Links PS. Dialectical behavior therapy compared with general psychiatric management for borderline personality disorder: clinical outcomes and functioning over a 2-year follow-up. The American journal of psychiatry. 2012;169(6):650-61. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.11091416.
- 11. Verheul R, Van Den Bosch LMC, Koeter MWJ, De Ridder MAJ, Stijnen T, Van Den Brink W. Dialectical behaviour therapy for women with borderline personality disorder: 12-month, randomised clinical trial in The Netherlands. The British journal of psychiatry: the journal of mental science. 2003;182:135-40.
- 12. van den Bosch LMC, Koeter MWJ, Stijnen T, Verheul R, van den Brink W. Sustained efficacy of dialectical behaviour therapy for borderline personality disorder. Behaviour research and therapy. 2005;43(9):1231-41.
- 13. Priebe S, Bhatti N, Barnicot K, Bremner S, Gaglia A, Katsakou C, et al. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of dialectical behaviour therapy for self-harming patients with personality disorder: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. Psychotherapy and psychosomatics. 2012;81(6):356-65. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000338897">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000338897</a>.
- 14. Linehan MM, Comtois KA, Murray AM, Brown MZ, Gallop RJ, Heard HL, et al. Two-year randomized controlled trial and follow-up of dialectical behavior therapy vs therapy by experts for suicidal behaviors and borderline personality disorder. Archives of general psychiatry. 2006;63(7):757-66.
- 15. Linehan MM, Heard HL, Armstrong HE. Naturalistic follow-up of a behavioral treatment for chronically parasuicidal borderline patients. Archives of general psychiatry. 1993;50(12):971-4.
- 16. Pistorello J, Fruzzetti AE, Maclane C, Gallop R, Iverson KM. Dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) applied to college students: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology. 2012;80(6):982-94. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0029096">https://dx.doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0029096</a>.
- 17. McMain SF, Guimond T, Barnhart R, Habinski L, Streiner DL. A randomized trial of brief dialectical behaviour therapy skills training in suicidal patients suffering from borderline disorder. Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica. 2017;135(2):138-48. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/acps.12664">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/acps.12664</a>.
- 18. Mohamadizadeh L, Makvandi B, Pasha R, Bakhtiarpour S, Hafezi F. Comparing of the effect of Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) and Schema Therapy (ST) on reducing mood activity and suicidal thoughts in patients with borderline personality disorder. Acta Medica Mediterranea. 2017;2017(6):1025-31. Available from: https://doi.org/10.19193/0393-6384 2017 6 162.

- 19. Linehan MM, Korslund KE, Harned MS, Gallop RJ, Lungu A, Neacsiu AD, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy for high suicide risk in individuals with borderline personality disorder: a randomized clinical trial and component analysis. JAMA psychiatry. 2015;72(5):475-82. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.3039">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.3039</a>.
- 20. Lin T-J, Ko H-C, Wu JY-W, Oei TP, Lane H-Y, Chen C-H. The Effectiveness of Dialectical Behavior Therapy Skills Training Group vs. Cognitive Therapy Group on Reducing Depression and Suicide Attempts for Borderline Personality Disorder in Taiwan. Archives of suicide research: official journal of the International Academy for Suicide Research. 2019;23(1):82-99. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13811118.2018.1436104">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13811118.2018.1436104</a>.
- 21. Turner RM. Naturalistic evaluation of dialectical behavior therapy-oriented treatment for borderline personality disorder. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2000;7(4):413-9. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S1077-7229(00)80052-8">https://doi.org/10.1016/S1077-7229(00)80052-8</a>.
- 22. Dixon-Gordon KL, Chapman AL, Turner BJ. A preliminary pilot study comparing dialectical behavior therapy emotion regulation skills with interpersonal effectiveness skills and a control group treatment. Journal of Experimental Psychopathology. 2015;6(4):369-88. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5127/jep.041714">https://doi.org/10.5127/jep.041714</a>.
- 23. Hamid N, Molajegh RR, Bashlideh K, Shehniyailagh M. The comparison of effectiveness of dialectical behavioral therapy (DBT) and schema therapy (ST) in reducing the severity of clinical symptoms (disruptive communication, emotional deregulation and behavioral deregulation) of borderline personality disorder. Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences. 2020;14(2):1354-63.
- 24. Steuwe C, Berg M, Beblo T, Driessen M. Narrative Exposure Therapy in Patients With Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Borderline Personality Disorder in a Naturalistic Residential Setting: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2021;12:765348. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.765348.
- 25. Soler J, Pascual JC, Tiana T, Cebria A, Barrachina J, Campins MJ, et al. Dialectical behaviour therapy skills training compared to standard group therapy in borderline personality disorder: a 3-month randomised controlled clinical trial. Behaviour research and therapy. 2009;47(5):353-8. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2009.01.013.
- 26. Walton CJ, Bendit N, Baker AL, Carter GL, Lewin TJ. A randomised trial of dialectical behaviour therapy and the conversational model for the treatment of borderline personality disorder with recent suicidal and/or non-suicidal self-injury: An effectiveness study in an Australian public mental health servic. The

- Australian and New Zealand journal of psychiatry. 2020;54(10):1020-34. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0004867420931164.
- 27. Beck E, Bo S, Jorgensen MS, Gondan M, Poulsen S, Storebo OJ, et al. Mentalization-based treatment in groups for adolescents with borderline personality disorder: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines. 2020;61(5):594-604. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13152">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13152</a>.
- 28. Jorgensen MS, Storebo OJ, Bo S, Poulsen S, Gondan M, Beck E, et al. Mentalization-based treatment in groups for adolescents with Borderline Personality Disorder: 3- and 12-month follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. European child & adolescent psychiatry. 2021;30(5):699-710. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00787-020-01551-2">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00787-020-01551-2</a>.
- 29. Rossouw TI, Fonagy P. Mentalization-based treatment for self-harm in adolescents: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2012;51(12):1304-13.e3. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.09.018">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.09.018</a>.
- 30. Carlyle D, Green R, Inder M, Porter R, Crowe M, Mulder R, et al. A Randomized-Controlled Trial of Mentalization-Based Treatment Compared With Structured Case Management for Borderline Personality Disorder in a Mainstream Public Health Service. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2020;11:561916. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.561916">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.561916</a>.
- 31. Bateman A, Fonagy P. Randomized controlled trial of outpatient mentalization-based treatment versus structured clinical management for borderline personality disorder. The American journal of psychiatry. 2009;166(12):1355-64. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09040539.
- 32. Bateman A, Constantinou MP, Fonagy P, Holzer S. Eight-year prospective follow-up of mentalization-based treatment versus structured clinical management for people with borderline personality disorder. Personality disorders. 2021;12(4):291-9. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/per0000422">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/per0000422</a>.
- 33. Bateman A, Fonagy P. Effectiveness of partial hospitalization in the treatment of borderline personality disorder: a randomized controlled trial. The American journal of psychiatry. 1999;156(10):1563-9.
- 34. Bateman A, Fonagy P. Treatment of borderline personality disorder with psychoanalytically oriented partial hospitalization: an 18-month follow-up. The American journal of psychiatry. 2001;158(1):36-42.

- 35. Bateman A, Fonagy P. 8-year follow-up of patients treated for borderline personality disorder: mentalization-based treatment versus treatment as usual. The American journal of psychiatry. 2008;165(5):631-8. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07040636">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07040636</a>.
- 36. Laurenssen EMP, Luyten P, Kikkert MJ, Westra D, Peen J, Soons MBJ, et al. Day hospital mentalization-based treatment v. specialist treatment as usual in patients with borderline personality disorder: randomized controlled trial. Psychological medicine. 2018;48(15):2522-9. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718000132">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718000132</a>.
- 37. Philips B, Wennberg P, Konradsson P, Franck J. Mentalization-Based Treatment for Concurrent Borderline Personality Disorder and Substance Use Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Feasibility Study. European addiction research. 2018;24(1):1-8. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000485564">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000485564</a>.
- 38. Jorgensen CR, Freund C, Boye R, Jordet H, Andersen D, Kjolbye M. Outcome of mentalization-based and supportive psychotherapy in patients with borderline personality disorder: a randomized trial. Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica. 2013;127(4):305-17. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2012.01923.x">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2012.01923.x</a>.
- 39. Jørgensen CR, Bøye R, Andersen D, Døssing Blaabjerg AH, Freund C, Jordet H, et al. Eighteen months post-treatment naturalistic follow-up study of mentalization-based therapy and supportive group treatment of borderline personality disorder: Clinical outcomes and functioning. Nordic Psychology. 2014;66(4):254-73. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/19012276.2014.963649.
- 40. Robinson P, Hellier J, Barrett B, Barzdaitiene D, Bateman A, Bogaardt A, et al. The NOURISHED randomised controlled trial comparing mentalisation-based treatment for eating disorders (MBT-ED) with specialist supportive clinical management (SSCM-ED) for patients with eating disorders and symptoms of borderline personality disorder. Trials. 2016;17(1):549. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-016-1606-8.
- 41. Mehlum L, Tormoen AJ, Ramberg M, Haga E, Diep LM, Laberg S, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy for adolescents with repeated suicidal and self-harming behavior: a randomized trial. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2014;53(10):1082-91. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2014.07.003">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2014.07.003</a>.
- 42. Mehlum L, Ramberg M, Tormoen AJ, Haga E, Diep LM, Stanley BH, et al.
  Dialectical Behavior Therapy Compared With Enhanced Usual Care for
  Adolescents With Repeated Suicidal and Self-Harming Behavior: Outcomes Over

- a One-Year Follow-Up. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2016;55(4):295-300. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2016.01.005">https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2016.01.005</a>.
- 43. Mehlum L, Ramleth R-K, Tormoen AJ, Haga E, Diep LM, Stanley BH, et al. Long term effectiveness of dialectical behavior therapy versus enhanced usual care for adolescents with self-harming and suicidal behavior. Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines. 2019;60(10):1112-22. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13077.
- 44. Santamarina-Perez P, Mendez I, Singh MK, Berk M, Picado M, Font E, et al. Adapted Dialectical Behavior Therapy for Adolescents with a High Risk of Suicide in a Community Clinic: A Pragmatic Randomized Controlled Trial. Suicide & life-threatening behavior. 2020;50(3):652-67. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12612">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12612</a>.
- 45. Mazzeo SE, Lydecker J, Harney M, Palmberg AA, Kelly NR, Gow RW, et al. Development and preliminary effectiveness of an innovative treatment for binge eating in racially diverse adolescent girls. Eating behaviors. 2016;22:199-205.
- 46. Dastan B, Afshar Zanjani S, Froueddin Adl A, Habibi M. The effectiveness of dialectical behaviour therapy for treating women with obesity suffering from BED: A feasibility and pilot study. Clinical Psychologist. 2020;24(2):133-42. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/cp.12197.
- 47. Safer DL, Telch CF, Agras WS. Dialectical behavior therapy for bulimia nervosa. The American journal of psychiatry. 2001;158(4):632-4.
- 48. Hill DM, Craighead LW, Safer DL. Appetite-focused dialectical behavior therapy for the treatment of binge eating with purging: a preliminary trial. The International journal of eating disorders. 2011;44(3):249-61. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.20812">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.20812</a>.
- 49. Masson PC, von Ranson KM, Wallace LM, Safer DL. A randomized wait-list controlled pilot study of dialectical behaviour therapy guided self-help for binge eating disorder. Behaviour research and therapy. 2013;51(11):723-8.
- 50. Rahmani M, Omidi A, Asemi Z, Akbari H. The effect of dialectical behaviour therapy on binge eating, difficulties in emotion regulation and BMI in overweight patients with binge-eating disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Mental Health and Prevention. 2018;9:13-8. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhp.2017.11.002">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhp.2017.11.002</a>.
- 51. Telch CF, Agras WS, Linehan MM. Dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating disorder. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology. 2001;69(6):1061-5.

- 52. Klein AS, Skinner JB, Hawley KM. Targeting binge eating through components of dialectical behavior therapy: preliminary outcomes for individually supported diary card self-monitoring versus group-based DBT. Psychotherapy (Chicago, III). 2013;50(4):543-52. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0033130">https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0033130</a>.
- 53. Chen EY, Cacioppo J, Fettich K, Gallop R, McCloskey MS, Olino T, et al. An adaptive randomized trial of dialectical behavior therapy and cognitive behavior therapy for binge-eating. Psychological medicine. 2017;47(4):703-17. Available from: https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716002543.
- 54. Safer DL, Robinson AH, Jo B. 'Outcome from a randomized controlled trial of group therapy for binge eating disorder: Comparing dialectical behavior therapy adapted for binge eating to an active comparison group therapy' [Behavior Therapy 41 (2010) 106–120]: Erratum. Behavior Therapy. 2010;41(3):432-. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2010.04.001">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2010.04.001</a>.